

#77

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 5:38:44 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 5:56:14 PM
Time Spent: 00:17:29
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

The proposed development completely unsuitable for the location. If a new town is to be established in Rutland, an evaluation should be undertaken as to the most suitable location, probably close to the A1 and/or a railway line so that a station can be included, within walking distance of the residential areas. Simply locating a new town at St. Georges because the land is available is ludicrous. The impact on the local roads would be horrific. The density of the housing also seems to be out of keeping with Rutland. A much smaller development would be far more sensible.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

There is currently an under supply of GP services in Rutland and Stamford. A GP surgery would be a good addition, and could be sustained with a small number of new houses.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Doctors surgery**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Grazing**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Livestock grazing

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

**Oakham,
Peterborough**

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Most of the site should be returned to agricultural use, with a small proportion used for a small to medium scale residential development, say up to 400 houses

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Any residential development must include sufficient car parking provision, with at least two off road parking spaces per residence. Given that most people will need to drive to jobs in Peterborough, Leicester, Grantham or beyond, it is likely that there will be at least two cars per household. Too many modern developments are blighted by on street parking problems. If the development is to include a business zone the planning assumption should be that most people working in the business zone will travel there by car, so traffic and parking plans should take this into account.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#78

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 5:45:54 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 6:31:14 PM
Time Spent: 00:45:19
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Terrible. The RCC really messed up with Barleythorpe so I do t have any faith in their vision to increase the number of houses to 3000+.It is totally unnecessary for Rutland County.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

I don't want a development like the one the RCC is trying to shove down our throats.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Not important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? Other (please specify):
We do not need a development like the one the RCC is pushing for. We may need a smaller number of houses built over 4 or 5 stages.

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? Other (please specify):
Please leave it as grazing and farmland.

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

Your proposed park is a joke, Your PR and marketing people have created a fantasy drawing

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):

Totally absurd question because it's based on the premise that there needs to be 3000 houses

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

I'd like to see RCC standing up and protecting the unique rural and agricultural beauty of one of the most beautiful counties in England. So my answer to this is try and visualise 7000 cars in greenbelt land when there is no proven need except RCC's need for more money because they have wasted our pounds with disasters like Barlethorpe.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

The proposals will bring an additional 7,000 cars onto our small roads, will create significant additional environmental damage and will change the nature of our small rural county for ever. What is proposed is a new town in the heart of the county, which will dwarf Uppingham in scale. This has been hidden under the sobriquet of a "Typical Rutland Garden Village". However, what is planned at present is 3,570 new homes, many of a type and style not seen in Rutland Villages.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#79

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 6:39:44 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 6:54:53 PM
Time Spent: 00:15:08
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

It will be detrimental to the RURAL county of Rutland. It will have an adverse effect on the residential amenity of neighbouring villages:
noise, loss of rural community
Unacceptable high density and over development of the site out of character with area
Adverse visual impact of the site on a rural community
Development will destroy the character of Rutland
Development is over-bearing and out of scale and character with surrounding area
Loss of open countryside views
Adverse effect of highway safety and current road users

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Future development needs to be in line with surrounding area
Improved bus services

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Not important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Hotel**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Grazing**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

**Hiking trails,
Livestock grazing**

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Country hotel with country park to enhance the rural character of Rutland

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Adverse effect on the residential amenity of neighbouring villages: noise, loss of rural community
Unacceptable high density and over development of the site
Visual impact of the site on a rural community
Development will destroy the character of Rutland
Development is over-bearing and out of scale and character with surrounding area
Loss of open countryside views
Adverse effect of highway safety and current road users

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q12 Email address

[REDACTED]

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#80

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Wednesday, May 23, 2018 3:15:29 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:16:41 PM
Time Spent: Over a week
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

The proposed development is too large and out of character with the surrounding villages
It is not a garden village, it is a town larger than Uppingham. The strain on public services and infrastructure will be too great

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Not applicable as do not want this development

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Not important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Bank, Doctors surgery**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Grazing**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used? **Livestock grazing**

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,

Uppingham,

Other (please specify):

Stamford

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Infrastructure in place before any development

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Detrimental impact on surrounding area. Unacceptable impact on services such as drainage, water supply, traffic generation, road capacity, means of access, car parking.

Loss of countryside and trees. Increase in noise and dust.

Over development that infrastructure cannot sustain

Design and size of development out of line with area, buildings should be restricted to 2 stories in height

Unacceptable traffic through villages when the level crossing is closed 40 minutes in every hour

Development is over bearing, appearance over shadowing current landscape

Currently 7 houses are now for sale in North Luffenham which is unprecedented. 2 previously sold houses are now again for sale indicating buyers do not wish to buy a house near this development

Will villagers be compensated for decrease in house values due to the development?

This indicates that the houses will not sell as who would buy a house next to a quarry? The new development would have to sell houses at a reduced value to sell them which would also impact the house values of surrounding villages.

The development would have a detrimental effect on house prices

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q12 Email address

[REDACTED]

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#81

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:33:41 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:44:09 PM
Time Spent: 00:10:27
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

The proposal represents a development of high density and size – quoted as at least the same size as Uppingham – geographically isolated in beautiful open countryside.

There is no easy access to major roads - only country lanes. I was informed that an appropriate infrastructure would be in place before building work commenced. It is difficult to envisage the impact and consequences of an additional (say) 3000 new cars together with the burden of the numerous heavy lorries involved in such a development lasting many years. Apart from the impact on the beauty of the surrounding roads and villages there are the additional dangers to the public as a consequence of the large scale cycling that is enjoyed in relative safety on the roads and tracks around Rutland Water.

The proposed development is out of all proportion to the housing needs of Rutland. Is this a proposal in order to create a commuter dormitory town for the likes of Peterborough and Cambridge? As such it would not reflect the current village and rural feel of Rutland and could not be described as a garden village for the benefit of Rutland as a whole.

Neither does the proposed development of a large part of the site as a working quarry for many years to come sit comfortably with housing development in such close proximity with all its potential dangers to residents. The transition of the site of the quarry into a country park shown for 2050 is so distant in time that it would not be of any benefit for a generation – if it indeed it ever happens and the prospect of a disused quarry is equally troubling.

Whilst recognising that development of the site is essential once the base becomes vacant, the proposal needs to be rethought in every detail on a more modest scale.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

AS above

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?

Shops,
Community centre,
Events space,
Doctors surgery,
Gym

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Walking/cycling routes

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Hiking trails,
Cycling routes,
Play space

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,
Uppingham,
Peterborough,

Other (please specify):
Leicester

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

A small hotel

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Please see Q1

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

[REDACTED]

Q11 What is your age group?

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

[REDACTED]

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#82

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:54:24 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 8:13:03 PM
Time Spent: 00:18:38
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Much too large in terms of percentage increase in population
No employment for the large numbers, they will not all work from home.
Agree with a properly planned site

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

it depends how big
I agree with your proposals for schools GP etc
There will be a major traffic issue around the new settlement
If it is going to be large there must be employment

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Shops, Community centre, Doctors surgery, Gym**

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing,
Sports provision,
Walking/cycling routes

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Sports provision,
Play space

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):
The buses are not utilized at all in Rutland. Everyone apart from a few will use their cars

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

I appreciate that there is no choice but to build houses

Some of the comments were ridiculous eg everyone will work from home, the roads will absorb the extra traffic with no problems and we will get round the lack of synergy with the Local plan by rewriting it.

I worry that this will destroy the quiet rural feel of Rutland.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q12 Email address

[REDACTED]

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council Yes

#83

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:51:18 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 8:22:18 PM
Time Spent: 00:31:00
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Totally unrealistic

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

I dont want to see this development being built on such a large scale.Any investments should already be looked at with what we dont have for Rutlanders now.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? Other (please specify):
Its a town that is being planned.Any of the above you would expect to be in place for any such development of this size.

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? Other (please specify):
It would seem pointless to spend public money on any of the above when further down the line its literally going to be bulldozed over.

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

██████████ e any country park wont be developed for many many years maybe 30? I'd never see it come to fruition.As far as I'm concerned it should never have been put on the county councils brochure,smoke and mirrors comes to mind.Anyone taking a cursory look would think oh how pleasant how wonderful when in actual fact its years in the future.

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):

The bus service is abysmal now,I cant see it being improved with a trickle of new home owners moving in as and when completion of each property.Most will use own transport because it would suit own lifestyle.Cannot see a bus service having enough permutations in time table to suit all.And they need it to be profitable!

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

No

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

All this talk of a future for your children and grandchildren and job.Where are the jobs? You will be luck to find 4 jobs each week advertised in either Rutland paper.This Town, its not any pretty village development.It is massive.The majority of folk who will be employed will commute its going to be a satellite town. Possibly 6000-7000 or more occupants.The roads will not cope,we haven't even had the pot holes filled in yet! and they talk of infrastructure being in place.5000-6000 cars,3000+ school age children.The surgery is being closed at Ketton!! .The waste from these homes where will people go who wish to use the current sites.I dread to think how dangerous its going to be on the back roads to Luffenham. They cyclists using the current stretch of public road next to Rutland water,I wonder how long it before a terrible accident with cyclists,its bad enough now. This is a Government policy being forced on us.The council are meant to look after residents needs etc and to me they have become lapdogs to them.Oh yes more money in the purse of the council but haven't they already shown how incompetent they have been with the public money with recent farces

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#84

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 7:36:18 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 8:56:15 PM
Time Spent: 01:19:57
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

it is a new town with no links to local existing communities or facilities or jobs. there is no vision its just a housing estate which people will commute from

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

road junctions will be put under increased pressure
doctors, schools, public transport,

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?
Shops,
Supermarket,
Community centre,
Doctors surgery,
Hotel

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? Other (please specify):
wildlife already use it so leave the grassland as it is

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Hiking trails,

Cycling routes,

Other (please specify):

wildlife should have priority. wildflower meadows, shrubland for birds

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,

Uppingham,

Ketton,

Other (please specify):

stamford

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

rutland has little in the way of horse riding so I would like a high quality equestrian centre.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

I think it will be a giant housing estate once the developers get involved, I cant believe any of the ASPIRATIONS, regarding jobs per household, infrastructure improvements prior to development, cycling and walking etc, people who move their will commute to elsewhere, affordable housing will go the same way as elsewhere and soon be market price for the area.

why is the whole site being defined as brownfield. it is only the edith Weston side that has the barrack accommodation on it, most of the site could go back to farmland after mineral extraction. we don't need or want a new town

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?



Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#85

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 8:49:11 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 9:03:12 PM
Time Spent: 00:14:01
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Plan OK but to destroy a valuable asset - the Golf Club - is disastrous. Many visitors to Rutland use this facility often. It should be built into the plan.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Must have school, medical centre, meeting place, golf club, gym facility, open area & heritage site (Thors)

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?
Community centre,
Events space,
Doctors surgery,
Gym,
Other (please specify):
Golf Club. Heritage site.

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing,
Walking/cycling routes,

Other (please specify):

Golf Club

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Hiking trails,
Cycling routes,
Livestock grazing,

Other (please specify):

Golf Club

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

The central existing military area should be developed into a Hi-Tech industrial area with job opportunities.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

The listed Thor site should be developed into an interactive heritage area to cover WWII (Bomber Command) operations, use by the Royal Canadian Air Force wing of Sabre jets, RAF medical ops & Army aspects.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?



Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address



Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#86

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 9:43:32 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 9:58:36 PM
Time Spent: 00:15:04
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

I think that it is completely out of scale for Rutland. The county is characterised by its small size, country lanes and villages - even the county town is small - so a development on this scale is completely out of character and will seriously harm what makes Rutland so special.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

If the development goes ahead as proposed it will bring thousands and thousands of new people to the area with the effect of swamping the local roads, facilities, shops, doctors, parking in Stamford, Uppingham and Oakham, and at Rutland Water. This will be greatly to the detriment of the special character of the area.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Shops, Community centre, Doctors surgery, Dentist**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Walking/cycling routes**

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#87

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 9:49:31 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 10:07:32 PM
Time Spent: 00:18:01
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

I appreciate that there is the need for development of the site. I think there is a great opportunity to improve the area.

But I find the proportion of housing to other potential uses too great.

It looks like there is a quick buck to be made by building across one third of the site immediately and waiting for mineral extraction to take years before other parts of the site can be utilised. And then it looks limited to parkland and a small development.

The access points, although already established, mainly congregate on the Edith Weston Roads. I would have thought that alternative roads should be designed or improved to get traffic to more major (A) roads without always going through Edith Weston or N Luffenham.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

A RAILWAY STATION. Most definitely.

New Road to Wireless Hill Roundabout and one to Empingham/Ketton crossroads.

Sports Facilities.

A bridge for traffic over the Railway.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Quite important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?

Community centre,
Events space,
Doctors surgery,
Hotel,
Care home

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Sports provision,
Walking/cycling routes,
Other (please specify):
golf course

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Sports provision,
Hiking trails,
Cycling routes,
Play space,
Recreational lake ,
Livestock grazing

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Peterborough,
Corby,
Other (please specify):
leicester

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Work and Jobs

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

More opportunity for more actual long term jobs for the area. The proposed ideas for industry and work seem limp and unsubstantial.

This could easily become a dormitory development without decent long term jobs or at least better transport to larger towns.

Its a shame the Golf Club will disappear.

Are you aware that there is a huge amount of Learner Drivers that use the run ways, its an asset of to the area.

There are model aircraft enthusiasts and para-glider groups that regularly use the area.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#88

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 9:42:38 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 10:11:15 PM
Time Spent: 00:28:36
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

I find the plan totally unacceptable. It is far too large a development for the rural setting and could potentially ruin the countryside of Rutland. The small communities and the lanes and country roads would be overwhelmed by the influx of so many people and vehicles. Furthermore, I find the brochure and details to be nothing more than glossy pie in the sky advertising, with unrealistic images to boot.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

X

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Unsure**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? Other (please specify):
Xxxxxx

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? Other (please specify):
Xxxxxxx

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):
Xxxxxxx

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):
Xxxxxxx

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

X

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████

Q11 What is your age group?

45-54

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#89

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 10:36:47 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 11:21:04 PM
Time Spent: 00:44:17
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Poorly thought out and a rushed decision before any public consultation. Low density houseing could work but the size proposed of a small town , slap in the middle of a rural location is ludicrous.

Nearest small towns 10+ miles (20 mile round trip)

Nearest large towns 25+ miles (50 mile round trip)

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Any developement to this site; whatever is decided, needs a new dedicated access to the A606 at the nearest point NE of the site. This would leave the roads to the south of Rutland Water to cater for local and tourism traffic as at present. These roads are used frequently by lots of cyclists.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Unsure**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Other (please specify):**
Type of developement needs to be decided first and then consider what is needed.

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Grazing,**
Walking/cycling routes

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

The country park is to be on a former quarry site. Any decision as to how it can be developed depends on what is used to fill the hole (toxic or non toxic) and whether it is to be a sunken park!

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):

They are all a long distance from the site and therefore expensive so only able to be used by those fortunate enough to have a good income.

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Possible retirement village with leisure areas adjoining for tourism and open to all residents.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Who would want to buy a house next to a pending quarry site and then the noise and dust created when the hole is then filled in. The site was selected in the past for an airfield in a time of conflict. How can this same site be an ideal place for a small town in the middle of a rural area with any job prospects miles away. The conflicts in the past may be eclipsed by the outrage that this proposal has caused for the people of Rutland.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████
████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q12 Email address

[REDACTED]

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#90

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 12:20:05 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 12:20:44 AM
Time Spent: 00:00:38
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

On 12 Jun 2018, at 17:44, [REDACTED] wrote:

Dear Sirs,

I am writing to register my complete opposition to the proposal recently mooted by the County Council. I am currently a resident of Tinwell and have resided in Rutland since 2001.

The basis of my objection is...

1. The local development plan adopted and approved by the council and residents as of October 2014 identified a need for a total of 741 new homes for the area in the period 2012 to 2016. (Page 10 of the published plan.)

To propose an additional 3,000 to 3,500 extra homes drives a coach and horses through the local plan and is clearly an opportunistic land grab aimed at making a quick profit for the government. It has nothing to do with a properly developed, consensus led development identifying, or in harmony with, local development needs.

2. I believe that Uppingham has about 1,700 homes. The scale of the development... to dump a town roughly twice the size of Uppingham in the middle of the countryside is absurd. The impact on the local community will be dreadful... some examples...

We all know that developments are never accompanied by an appropriate investment in infrastructure...

where is the extra parking going to be at Stamford, Oakham, Corby and Peterborough stations?

where are the extra trains and busses going to come from?

the A1 junctions around Stamford are very often static with very heavy traffic in the morning rush. Where are the junction widening plans and when is the A1 going to be widened to cope with the extra traffic?

what is the fate of local country roads in the vicinity... putting in or remodelling an existing roundabout or two is not going to be adequate for this scale of development.

where are all these people going to work, go to school, go for healthcare etc. etc?

3. I live in Rutland because it is by and large a very pleasant rural community in which development has occurred over hundreds of years in more or less harmony with the landscape. It is mostly rural with sympathetically developed architecture and spacing.

Trying to justify this dreadful development on the basis of housing densities with the likes of Welwyn Garden City is simply absurd... it's like saying "well we all know that Welwyn is a densely packed, ugly urban jungle, but St George's will be slightly better" .. where is the rationality in that? Frankly it's an insult to one's intelligence and demeans whoever put this deeply flawed line of argument together.

4. Despite the soothing words and artist's impressions in the development plan, we all know that modern, dense developments comprise of lots of small square boxes made of breeze block and brick that nearly all look the same with never enough parking space. They tend to be tall and thin in order to cram as many people onto the smallest footprint possible in order to maximise the value of the land. This type of development will be an ugly blot on the landscape of our rural idyll.

Frankly I am saddened and sickened that the Council has paid any kind of lip service to this ghastly proposal from Westminster. Simply put, anyone on the council who really does represent this area should do nothing but oppose it in my opinion.

I would like to know how you plan to proceed in the face of what I am sure will be overwhelming local opposition to this absurd scheme.

Regards

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 What is your age group?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#91

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 3:17:05 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 8:51:04 AM
Time Spent: 17:33:59
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

I believe the vision to be at odds with the character of the area. Rutland is admired around the British Isles for its small villages and rural aspect this vision is completely contrary to this.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

The road infrastructure would obviously require upgrading. This does not mean turning our existing roads into 2/4 lane highways. It would require additional access with well thought out routes to the main trunk roads. Bridge over railway line for access to A47 would avoid a Tallington like scenario where traffic is always backed up.

Regular additional bus services would be required.

School

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?
Shops,
Community centre,
Doctors surgery,
Dentist,
Care home

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing,
Walking/cycling routes

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Hiking trails,
Cycling routes,
Recreational lake ,
Livestock grazing

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,
Other (please specify):
Stamford

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Return land to farming

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

The proposal is far too large a village on the scale of the surrounding villages should be the only way forward. There is no employment of the size required for a town this size so most would have to travel out of the county.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████

Q11 What is your age group?



Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address



Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#92

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 8:30:36 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 8:58:50 AM
Time Spent: 00:28:14
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

We strongly oppose the 'vision' for this site. The building of 3,000+ houses is well beyond local needs and will create a commuter/dormitory town. The designs presented bear no resemblance to the character of the county. The vision does not adequately address issues regarding; jobs, roads, health and social care and schooling.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Any future development should be restricted to no more than 500 houses. This would enable appropriate public services and infrastructure to be provided, such as employment, road improvements, provisions of health and social care and schooling at all levels.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Not important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Doctors surgery, Dentist, Care home,**
Other (please specify):
Schooling at all levels. Again, any development should be restricted to 500 houses to meet local needs.

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Other (please specify):

We oppose mineral extraction from this site. The area should be used to generate employment related to recreation and returned to farm land.

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

A country park should be created immediately and not wait for a 'future' development.

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):

Bus services need improvement now, irrespective of any development.

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

The site should be used to generate employment related to recreational activities. the golf course should be kept and improved. the country park should be created without delay, with limited holiday accommodation developed. A small development of no more than 500 houses should be created. this would be in balance with the area.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Detailed plans for public services and infrastructure must be in place and funded before any development takes place. any development should be restricted to 500 houses. The site should be used to create employment related to recreational activities. Mineral extraction is unnecessary and should be opposed. HGVs (except loading) should be banned from local roads and provision must be made for the protection of recreational cyclists. Finally, the six councillors who have signed the MOU have compromised their integrity and impartiality on this subject. They have a vested interest in the process and should be excluded from the decision making process, which should be refereed to the remainder of the council.

Page 11: About you

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q10 What is your postcode?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 What is your age group?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#93

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 8:59:41 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 9:21:46 AM
Time Spent: 00:22:05
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

We oppose the 'vision' for this site. The provision of 3,000 houses is well beyond local needs and will create a commuter/dormitory town. The designs presented bear no resemblance to the character of the county and the vision does not adequately address issues regarding: jobs, roads, health and social care and schooling at all levels.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Any future development should be restricted to no more than 500 houses. This would be in keeping with local needs and would enable appropriate public services and infrastructure to be provided.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Not important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Doctors surgery, Dentist, Care home,**
Other (please specify):
Schooling at all levels. Again, any development should be restricted to no more than 500 houses, to be in balance with the remainder of the county.

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Other (please specify):

We oppose mineral extraction from this site. The area should be used to generate employment related to recreational activities and part returned to farmland.

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

A country park should be created immediately and not be part of any 'future' development.

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):

Bus services need improvement now and not wait for any 'future' development.

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

The site should be used to generate employment related to recreational activities. The golf course should be kept and improved. The country park should be created immediately and holiday accommodation should be sympathetically developed. A development of no more than 500 houses should be created; this would be in balance with the area.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Detailed plans for all public services and infrastructure improvements should in place and funded before any development takes place. Specific provision should be made regarding roads. HGVs (except loading and farm vehicles) should be banned from country roads and adequate provision should be made to protect recreational cyclists. Finally the six councillors than signed the MOU with the MOD have compromised their integrity and impartiality. They have a vested interest in the outcome. They should therefore be excluded from the decision making process and the matter should be referred to the remainder of the council without delay.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#94

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, June 08, 2018 5:13:18 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 10:23:09 AM
Time Spent: Over a day
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

I believe this vision, whilst seemingly noble in its intent, is flawed for these reasons : -

- 1- Decision-making re the MOU position with MOD being taken by the so-called Cabinet in isolation is undemocratic, and should have been open to the Council at large.
- 2 - The likelihood of selling the initial 70 houses let alone the targeted 1500-3000 houses on a protected quarry-site - with all that that entails seems highly unrealistic.
- 3 - The use of the term "Garden Village" (with what that infers) is mis-leading when what is being proposed is a small town of similar scale to Uppingham and Oakham but with minimal infrastructure.
- 4 - The principle reason for entering the MOU - ie to contain/manage MOD aspirations - seems ambitious knowing the pressure on them from HMG to maximise value, and it would seem more appropriate therefore that RCC use the more traditional Planning process to manage this.
- 5 - The likelihood of creating 3000 new jobs in this location seems slight when past efforts to increase employment in the area have been unsuccessful but even if successful would then lead to further increases in traffic in and out of the area as it is beyond belief that new home owners could somehow be matched to such new jobs.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Investment in services/ infra-structure needs be matched to the scale of the development, and should therefore be similar to those of neighbouring towns in order to avoid additional burden falling on extant facilities there. These facilities are listed in your following questions but I would highlight my surprise to hear from RCC's representative at the Oakham Open Day (Mr Frith) that the projected traffic numbers did not justify and addition roads or even widening of existing roads - other than at current junctions. This seems incredulous when considering the likely scale of new cars plus construction traffic over the decade-long build and the mining traffic following that.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?

Shops,

Community centre,

Doctors surgery,

Hotel,

Other (please specify):

Schools for all age-groups Post - office Child-care facility NB
The lack of comprehensive in-town facilities will only increase traffic again as people travel to them.

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Sports provision,

Walking/cycling routes,

Other (please specify):

BBQ/Picnic area Allotments

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Sports provision,

Hiking trails,

Cycling routes,

Play space,

Other (please specify):

BBQ / Picnic area

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,

Uppingham,

Peterborough,

Corby

Page 9

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

The site could be used to enhance tourism to the area with a hotel / camping / outdoor activities centre - wood-crafts / orienteering / hiking - which could be linked into water-sports activities on Rutland Water

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

It would be useful for RCC to define what they mean by "affordable" housing.

Can RCC explain how railway crossings would be managed in order to minimise travel-times for the very high number of new cars.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#95

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 9:44:51 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 10:30:09 AM
Time Spent: 00:45:18
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Ill conceived at this stage, with an over urbanised housing scheme and ill thought infrastructure and employment provision. A scheme that fails to reflect the rural, small community character of the area. A vision that appears to undermine the tourism value of this important part of Rutland

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Improved roads around the development, a bridge over the railway at North Luffemham to accommodate increased traffic, improved bus services - currently no service links the site and Manton an many other villages. Schooling including secondary. Just because VCC & UCC may currently capacity does not address sustainability. This development will be bigger than Uppingham yet Uppingham has 1 secondary school and 1 private secondary school, this will have neither. Because of its proximity to Rutland Water, a hotel, providing an alternative offering to Normanton Park would be sensible (eg Travelodge, Ibis etc) but not offered. If the primary schools are just to be expanded (Edith Weston and North Luffenham) then there are issues at both locations regarding access from residential areas across existing arterial roads, including safety. Health service provision is vague assuming some satellite provision from Oakham or Uppingham. This will require a new health centre including dentistry and urgent care centre which could benefit Rutland Water sailing, cycling, running, climbing etc. A theatre/cinema provision would compliment the tourism/leisure offering already present and would be an improvement on the ad hoc dual use of the museum in Oakham, A library? A retail street or centre, community centre(s)

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?

Shops,
Community centre,
Doctors surgery,
Hotel,

Other (please specify):

Considerably more employment opportunity than proposed with the opportunity to secure an anchor employer - currently unachievable in such a small space where at best a small business park is the best that can be achieved resulting in unsustainable excessive commuting

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing,
Camping,

Other (please specify):

keep it rural do not urbanise

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

I wouldn't want any of the above. A more adventurous offering might be biomes similar though on a smaller scale similar to the Eden Project offering something to attract and possibly linked to Eden or local universities

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,
Uppingham,
Ketton,
Peterborough,
Corby,

Other (please specify):

All the above + adjacent villages eg Manton, Pilton, Lyndon, Wing etc. Also Stamford

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Employment is barely given any proper consideration, the need for an anchor employer, playing fields, MUGA, crematorium (currently provision is all out of county)

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

The character of the area is dominated by small village communities. This proposal unlike other Garden village/city projects rejects that core principle and so is out of character. Its location is fairly remote and without proper planning will lead to isolation. It will swamp existing settled communities. It needs developing with say 3 smaller distinct communities, producing less than 3000 dwellings in total across the site but higher revenue returns as a consequence. So 3 communities of say 700 dwellings each across the site, phased to allow for quarrying and reclamation.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#96

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 10:46:43 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 10:50:42 AM
Time Spent: 00:03:59
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Over ambitious and not appropriate for this small county!

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

-

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Quite important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 8

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 What is your age group?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#97

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 10:36:22 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 10:55:32 AM
Time Spent: 00:19:10
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

This proposal will create what is effectively a new town in a rural location and not a Garden Village, the local area will be completely overwhelmed by such a project which does not appear to reflect the character of Rutland, very careful consideration and consultation must be used to determine the best way forward and I would recommend that the proposals put forward by North Luffenham, Edith Weston and Empingham parish councils should be followed, this must not be pushed through in a terrible rush, which is what appears to be happening!

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Considerable

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Shops, Community centre, Events space, Doctors surgery, Dentist**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Grazing**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

**Sports provision,
Cycling routes,
Livestock grazing**

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

**Oakham,
Uppingham,
Peterborough,
Corby**

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

As with any new town a complete range of facilities and services will be required

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

We need to get this right please take the time to achieve this!

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#98

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 10:52:19 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 10:59:20 AM
Time Spent: 00:07:01
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Over ambitious and not in keeping for such a small county

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Bus services

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Quite important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Shops, Community centre, Doctors surgery,**
Other (please specify):
Adequate School

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Grazing**

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

**Sports provision,
Hiking trails,
Livestock grazing**

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

**Oakham,
Uppingham**

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

It is far too big and will not be in keeping with such a small rural county. It will be a blight on the landscape. There is not the employment in the county to warrant so much housing. It will just end up being a commuter belt town...where people don't integrate as a community.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

■■■■

Q11 What is your age group?

■■■■

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#99

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 11:07:44 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 11:12:29 AM
Time Spent: 00:04:45
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

In my opinion the overall vision for St George's Barracks is grotesque. I have lived in Rutland for over 30 years and have loved every minute of it. I [REDACTED].

The quantum of housing development you propose will change the nature of Rutland forever and is totally unnecessary. The houses required to maintain our community were incorporated into the local plan and St George's Barracks development is a knee jerk reaction to unplanned circumstances and will damage our community forever.

I wish the council to find an instrument to buy this land so that it can control any development. Primarily I would like to see the land, in the main, leased back to the farmers. The sensible suggestions made by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] in their letter to the Leader of RCC 30th May would make an excellent start for a full and informed review of our options.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Appropriate to a more sensible plan

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? Other (please specify):
Again, appropriate to a different development

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Grazing**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Hiking trails,
Cycling routes,
Livestock grazing

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,
Peterborough,
Corby,
Other (please specify):
Stamford, Leicester

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Please stop this development

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████
███

Page 12: Keeping you informed

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q12 Email address

[REDACTED]

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#100

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 10:17:58 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 11:13:51 AM
Time Spent: 00:55:53
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

location suitability. A planning assumption has been made, that to build a large number of homes in a location remote from centres of employment will result in a sustainable community that is balanced in age group and mix.

THIS HAS BEEN A WRONG ASSUMPTION.

St Georges would NOT be an attractive location for young working people as first time buyers of affordable homes who would require access to main centres of employment via direct main road routes to Leicester, Nottingham, Peterborough, Loughborough, Northampton, Corby.

YES, An attractive location for retired elderly people with funds to downsize and purchase the newly available properties.

RESULT, ANOTHER RETIREMENT VILLAGE.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

THIS QUESTION IS BIASED

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Care home,**
Other (please specify):
THIS QUESTION IS BIASED

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Other (please specify):
THIS QUESTION IS BIASED

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):
THIS QUESTION IS BIASED

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):
The plan has completely ignored road access and the fact that the proposed 3000 houses will bring in excess of 6000 additional cars onto Rutlands roads and country lanes.

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

THIS IS A BIASED QUESTION

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

IF COUNTY PROCEEDS WITH THIS SCALE OF PLAN IT WILL RESULT IN AN UNSUSTAINABLE RETIREMENT VILLAGE/TOWN.
A material planning consideration has been ignored. ACCESS.
Provision in the plan to generate on site employment opportunities will suffer the same restrictions of difficult travel access to both business marketplace and customers.
3000 new homes will attract 6000 additional vehicles to Rutlands country lanes, with additional service & commercial traffic.
RUTLAND IS THE WRONG LOCATION FOR MOST TYPES OF COMMERCE.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?



Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address



Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#101

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 10:36:45 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 11:21:01 AM
Time Spent: 00:44:15
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

The "vision" represents a blot on the landscape and will totally swamp existing local settlements and infrastructure, being considerably larger than Uppingham

A development of this scale will have unacceptable environmental impact and will represent a 15% increase in the population of Rutland, which, it should be noted is not included in the County Councils projections or plans.

The "Country Park" will in fact be a quarry for many years to come- but in any event- the only reason a country park would be required is the unsympathetic and large scale development in the middle of a rural landscape.

Whilst the County Council indicate that the development will be sympathetic in terms of materials used and style, the plans suggest that flats and 3 storey town houses will be included and that the development and that the general size and design of the development will in no way be sympathetic with the local vernacular.

Tourism, which is of course an important part of Rutland's economy will no doubt be damaged by such an unsympathetic development.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

The development is totally out of scale and will swamp local roads. If constructed as proposed, it is anticipated that the majority of those living on-site will need to commute to Leicester, Peterborough or London to work.

The A47 will therefore need to be up-graded and changes made to current pinch points on the A1 junctions and at Wansford.

It will not be possible to make necessary adaptations to local roads to allow for the volume of traffic 5000 vehicles allowing for approx 1.5 per household together with the vehicles servicing the quarry

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Not important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?

Bank,
Doctors surgery,
Hotel,
Place of worship ,
Care home

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Livestock grazing

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Peterborough,

Other (please specify):

Leicester However it is doubtful that the majority of the population will use buses- they will use cars

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Grazing

Page 10

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

It is totally amazing County Councilors appear to view a development of this scale as realistic, sustainable or sympathetic to the local environment.

There seems to be an assumption that the population of what is in reality a "new town" will not travel outside the area, or will do so on public transport. This a convenient myth. The majority will travel by car to work and school, to shop and use facilities elsewhere. If, which is doubted 350 are created this may offer employment to one member of every 10 households, although of course, any employment created is unlikely to be taken by those in the new town creating yet more traffic as those from elsewhere commute in.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#102

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 11:05:28 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 11:36:00 AM
Time Spent: 00:30:32
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

I contend that the "vision" is fatuous and irrelevant. The idea of a village with an excess of 3,00 homes is a nonsense when taking the sizes of Uppingham and Oakham towns into consideration.

The original core strategy document made much more sense; this involved extensive consultation from 2008; took into account LDF findings; sustainability appraisal, habitat assessment; and sustainable communities strategy.

The thrust of the original strategy was the development of Oakham and Uppingham along with smaller developments around other Rutland villages: this was a much more inspiring vision as it was predicated on developing Rutland in terms of geography, economy, environmental, social and cultural matters. Infrastructure development would make much more sense with this type of development. The St George's proposal just does not fit with the Rutland's spatial portrait.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

The infrastructure development should be constructed after the vision has been agreed. A "spread out" approach to creating housing for the projected population increase; (development of Oakham and Uppingham) would lead to incremental improvements around the County; as opposed to significant changes if a "garden village" approach was taken.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? Other (please specify):
As stated earlier; if current sites are expanded incrementally facilities will be self evident. Any brown field development should include facilities that contribute to the main aspects of Rutland: tourism, long term sustainable support for population increases, leisure facilities and so on.

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Other (please specify):

Tourist attractions; such as walking and cycling; nature trails; natural habitats

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Hiking trails,

Cycling routes,

Other (please specify):

Nature and education trails

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,

Uppingham,

Ketton

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Tourist attraction; hotel; rambling, cycling and nature trails; visitor "museum" celebrating the site history and contributions to major conflicts.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

I believe it is irrelevant the the "MOD wants to build houses on the site - this is simply to maximise the capital receipts to the MOD. It is a tactical, knee jerk reaction and ignores the needs, aspirations and lifestyle of the Rutland community.

The elected RCC officials need to listen empathically to the community voice and to stop "hiding" behind the MOD tactical desires. A central large development will result in 10,000 people; 5,00 vehicles; and an inevitable daily migration of people travelling to there respective work places. This is not a sustainable approach to housing development and flies in the face of Government policy and strategy

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#103

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 2:08:03 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 2:19:59 PM
Time Spent: 00:11:55
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Totally inappropriate in terms of scale and vision

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

I don't believe any will take place based on what's happened in Oakham

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Quite important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Shops, Community centre, Doctors surgery,**
Other (please specify):
School

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Grazing**

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

I believe that by the time the minerals have been extracted you'll have decided to put houses there too

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

**Oakham,
Uppingham,
Peterborough**

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

I support the views expressed by NL,EW, Stretton, Clipsham PCs as well as that of CPRE

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#104

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 1:56:19 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 2:44:44 PM
Time Spent: 00:48:24
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

If the MOD need to sell the land and the government need housing then all well and good but this project should consistent with employment opportunities for the target purchasers. There is currently a glut of housing in Oakham for which a new ring road and industries have been introduced. There will be competition for any employment opportunities at St Georges. The experience of this household in terms of employment is that the Stamford area is the worst area for opportunities for professionals, leading to funding given to assist with CVs, networking and interview techniques. Nevertheless it still took the author 14 months to get a job, and this was in Kettering, 45 minutes drive away. This talks to the fact that Rutland is a rural county, with little or no industry currently. Therefore it is considered that any new jobs are likely to be service sector or low level retail jobs. Whilst it is a desirable aim to reduce the average age of the population in Rutland, bringing dynamism and energy and new ideas to the area this will only be achieved by actual employment. The Master Plan is light on these opportunities, and doesn't refer at all to the developments in Oakham as competition. The project will be a success if piecemeal development is made, so as not to waste funding, but with infrastructure developments made one step ahead, as with Oakham.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

To encourage business and industry to be based here as well as residents to travel to employment in Peterborough, Corby and Kettering based in St Georges, improvements in transport around the south of the base are essential:
Roads: East/West roads are considered satisfactory for this scale of development.
North/South transit is in great need of expansion:
- widening of the road through North Luffenham through Wireless Hill, over the train line;
- a ring road around Uppingham to replace the A6003 with juggernauts driving through to Corby and the A14
Trains: a train station to be provided at the road junction at Linecross with appropriate car parking
TO maximise use of funding for a Health and Wellbeing Centre: to provide the following services, including podiatry, minor injuries, minor illnesses, sexual health advice and treatment, wound care, health screening, MRI scans, district nursing and GP services.
Schools: to be consistent with school provision elsewhere in the county and to minimise travel
Emergency services: currently we rely on services purchased from Leicester council for police fire and ambulance. The size of this project may well trigger a step change in the size of provision of each of these.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?

Shops,

Supermarket,

Dentist,

Place of worship ,

Other (please specify):

Health and Wellbeing centre. Note: Place of worship to accommodate more than one faith

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing,

Sports provision,

Walking/cycling routes

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Hiking trails,

Cycling routes,

Play space,

Recreational lake ,

Other (please specify):

Joined up with Rutland Water provision, only a mile away.

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,

Uppingham,

Ketton,

Peterborough,

Corby,

Other (please specify):

Kettering, Leicester

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

The High Level Master Plan section 3.0 refers to 14 hectares of employment - a Business Zone, and a Local Centre coloured pink on the map, at the opposite end to the Business Zone. Thought should be given to considering local services such as post, catering etc for businesses to minimise travel.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

As referred to as part of question 1, the plans need to consider other areas in the county competing for employment, to provide value for money for this project. It should not be viewed in isolation. Thank you for reading this submission. We wish the team well and look forward to future developments with interest.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council Yes

#105

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 3:45:02 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 3:49:33 PM
Time Spent: 00:04:30
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

It looks too big for such a small county

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Better trains/buses around the region. More doctors, nurses, schools, etc. The county struggles as it is, eg with just one doctors for the whole of Oakham.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Quite important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Shops, Supermarket, Events space, Doctors surgery, Dentist**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Other (please specify): Don't have enough info to answer this.**

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Recreational lake

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,

Uppingham,

Other (please specify):

Stamford

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Smaller development. The concern with this one is that it's so vast and seems out of proportion with the region and its services.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#106

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 5:01:14 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 5:24:57 PM
Time Spent: 00:23:43
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Over development for the area.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

School, Doctors Surgery, Transport, 6th Form College, Dentist Surgery, Shop, Post Office

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Shops, Community centre, Doctors surgery, Dentist,**
Other (please specify):
School, 6th Form College

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Grazing**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

**Hiking trails,
Cycling routes,
Play space**

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

**Oakham,
Uppingham,
Ketton**

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Employment Provisions - What will they be?

Starter homes - not shared equity but at an affordable price of say £120 - £180,000

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

I am extremely concerned that there will not be enough infrastructure created to cope with the size of development proposed. The scale is wrong. I feel that there will need to be extra roads created to aid traffic flow. It will have a great impact on the rural community and the green spaces we are accustomed too. I would like to know how the development will effect all Rutland's current vendors. Traffic noise, HGV Movements, Less parking facilities in nearby towns and villages. Council Tax, what we will get included in our council tax. Will the green areas be managed better than the current roadside green spaces? I am concerned that this overdevelopment will impact all Rutland residents and not necessarily be of benefit to most. The scale is wrong. More thought needs to be given to the people already living in and contributing to rutland.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#107

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 5:01:18 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 5:26:22 PM
Time Spent: 00:25:03
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

This is a fantastic opportunity for Rutland. There is some concern about the number of houses and the supporting infrastructure but I understand this is necessary in order to make the wider development and infrastructure viable. Bearing in mind the likelihood that the site owners will be looking to develop the site with or without the Council's involvement, we should take this as a good opportunity to ensure that any development is suitable for Rutland and sympathetic to the beautiful character of our county.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

No doubt there are experts in relevant areas being consulted (roads, schools etc.) so I'll leave the detail to them. However, the roads need to be upgraded to deal with the significant increase in population and traffic. There should be new cycleways to facilitate access to Rutland Water and to local village amenities. Public transport links need improving especially to factor in major employment sites, not just towns and villages.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Shops, Community centre, Doctors surgery, Gym**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Camping,
Walking/cycling routes,

Other (please specify):

Perhaps consider short-term leases as small holdings, to attract young people seeking to set up agricultural businesses. Mountain bike & BMX tracks could also be put in as these would suit the temporary nature of the extraction area and could be reinstated once the quarry has been backfilled.

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Sports provision,
Hiking trails,
Cycling routes,
Play space,
Recreational lake ,

Other (please specify):

The area should include parking for visitors and to enable disabled residents and visitors to access facilities and plenty of seating for those that need it. Perhaps some boulders for climbers and an adventure playground for children. Wildlife trails and bird hides?

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,
Uppingham,
Peterborough,
Corby,

Other (please specify):

Employment centres should feature on routes to link them to potential employees and a range of shift patterns need to be considered, e.g. early and late buses if viable.

Page 9

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

An innovation centre to support those residents that work from home. This could be located in the employment zone and would include high value equipment such as laser cutters, 3d printers, CNC machines etc to empower entrepreneurs to accelerate growth.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

The missile site area should be used for provision of a new heritage attraction as, apart from Rutland Water, the county has very little to offer when the weather is bad and as an alternative to walking, cycling etc. This would be great for families, e.g. transport museum, cold war museum, RAF/military museum with outdoor exhibits. Perhaps a wargames area to generate employment & revenue, e.g. paintball, airsoft and production facilities for film location units. Augmented reality and other technology could be incorporated to make it really exciting, e.g. visitors could point their smartphones at the launchpads and watch missiles being launched!?

The employment zone is far too small if the ambition is to create one job per household. Could the Council purchase this area in advance of sale of the wider site and start to get businesses in before the houses are built? This would enable businesses to start growing ready for the new residents moving in.

The population of Melton borough is around 48,000 and that area doesn't feel overcrowded. Rutland needs to move with the times and I believe it is totally feasible to grow the population whilst retaining its rural look and feel. To do this though, careful planning is required and supporting infrastructure needs to come first, not as an afterthought. I just hope that the needs of the county as a whole are not stifled by the negativity of the few that feel strongly enough to oppose change...as happened with the Oakham Town Centre proposals.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#108

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 5:43:29 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 5:49:02 PM
Time Spent: 00:05:33
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Far too big - totally wrong for Rutland

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Impossible to comment as the vision is all wrong

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Unsure**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Other (please specify):**
this is not relevant or fair to ask until a development size is known - you are rather jumping the gun

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Grazing**

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):
irrelevant questioning until the reality of a plan is agreed and is in lien with what the people of Rutlan, whom you represent need and want

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):
irrelevant

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

This whole project needs rethinking with some honest transparency - it clearly all about your purse.. and you are compromising the quality of life for you residents.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

This will be an expensed fight unless your plans are wholly rethought.... Rutland does no need houses - can you make more money another way - country estate?? hotel??

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

■■■■

Q11 What is your age group?

■■■■

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#109

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 5:35:11 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 5:50:22 PM
Time Spent: 00:15:11
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

I am appalled at the whole idea. More and more concrete over our lovely county. When will it stop ??

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Return land in effect confiscated by the MOD to the farming community

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? Other (please specify):
RCC has failed to provide adequate facilities in our county town. This is where they should focus

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Grazing,**
Other (please specify):
agriculturally

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Livestock grazing

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):

Rutland does not need such a new development. Potentially 10000 inhabitants (and upwards) in the very heart of our countryside. We need parking in Oakham

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Return it to the farming community

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

I cannot. I believe the plan is completely out of place. A town the size of Oakham in the middle of the county - sheer madness

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████
██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Yes

#110

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 11:36:30 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 5:59:19 PM
Time Spent: 06:22:49
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

There is an assumption that there will be building and no thought that it might be returned to farm land. Why is this? Whereas building is inevitable in the County as a whole, Rutland has fulfilled it's quota and we don't need a new town in this beautiful rural County!

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

To put in a town as the proposal suggests without giving thought to the size of the local roads is asking for disaster! If one sees the village of Edith Weston around the area where the Village Shop is, and on the other side of the "main" road towards Rutland Water there are several 'Council-style-houses' without garaging and consequently the cars all park along the road on the bend! It is dangerous to drive past them at any time, but when meeting oncoming cars it is disastrous!

Try multiplying that by 6,000 cars! Without proper roads nothing will work. It is unrealistic to assume that people will not need to travel to work.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Community centre, Doctors surgery, Dentist, Hotel, Other (please specify): Any business which brings employment to the locality, rather than encouraging people to travel to work.**

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Recreational lake ,

Livestock grazing

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,

Uppingham,

Peterborough,

Other (please specify):

Stamford

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Senior and Junior Schools

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Not all development is profitable. Please pause and imagine how Rutland can be spoilt by overdevelopment of this beautiful County

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

[REDACTED]

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#111

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 6:25:32 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 6:53:42 PM
Time Spent: 00:28:09
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

The current population for Edith Weston including the Barracks is under 100. To contemplate simply adding an extra 3,000 new properties on one site is simply out of the question for the small rural county of Rutland. The necessary infrastructure & public services would destabilise the area and its unique rural structure forever.

The 3000 extra homes should be spread more equitably across the majority of Rutland villages and Uppingham. (Oakham housing is growing currently).

Creating a paper Materplan & talking of housing densities is VERY different to the reality and its existence.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Right now we need more investment in maintaining and improving public services or infrastructure.

The Council is reducing or eliminating them not adding or improving.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families?

Quite important

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?

**Shops,
Bank,
Doctors surgery,**

Other (please specify):

Primary School, Bus Station. Place of worship with adjacent access to next door community Centre with large Car Park (like Aslockton)

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing,

Sports provision,

Other (please specify):

Rutland Water is the perfect country park with all its activities. Any adjacent small Barracks are should be providing indoor Gym/ Sports Centre for say, Yoga, Dance, Gymnasium, all weather football and netball etc.

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Sports provision,

Play space,

Livestock grazing,

Other (please specify):

See previous answer.

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,

Uppingham,

Peterborough,

Other (please specify):

Stamford

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Far less housing and real growth of local employment with small and medium businesses and technology centres.

Page 10

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

If the current draft plans are adopted and approved, Rutland Council will be simply out of its depth for Assessment, Finances and Realistic Social effects of this creation.

PLEASE THINK AGAIN, provide more technology and businesses (medium and small) on the Barracks site for local employment. Allocate 3000 extra houses across all the Rutland villages and Uppingham

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████
██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

██

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#112

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 7:31:22 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 7:32:46 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:24
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

I have some objections.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 8

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 What is your age group?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#113

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 7:27:33 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 7:40:35 PM
Time Spent: 00:13:01
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

I believe the idea of making use of some of the old site is good however I believe the scale of the project is far too big for the surrounding area and should be a fraction of the proposed size

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

The roads are very important as we are in a small county with small and narrow roads, sports centres and provisions should be available and a school and shop

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?
Shops,
Community centre,
Events space,
Doctors surgery,
Gym

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Sports provision,**
Walking/cycling routes

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

**Sports provision,
Hiking trails,
Cycling routes**

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

**Oakham,
Peterborough,**
Other (please specify):
stamford

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

I think the amount of new houses is far too many. This is a small county and the surrounding areas cannot support this amount of housing. It will be totally out of keeping with the surrounding villages and appears to be a totally unreasonable amount of potential new people with cars and visitors, etc. I think there should be 300 homes maximum in that area and a view to improving access and surrounding roads. I believe the scale of this proposal is FAR TOO BIG. The villages of Edith Weston and North and South Luffenham already have a large amount of traffic given the size and layout of these beautiful old villages.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q12 Email address

[REDACTED]

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#114

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 7:28:22 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 7:40:42 PM
Time Spent: 00:12:19
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

A disaster for the smallest english county. Yes we need housing, especially affordable housing but not to the degree of 3000+ modern uninspired houses.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

We need decent 6th form education for all abilities preferably attached to a decent secondary school. Better bus services. A crossing of the railway by bridge. If the quarry takes shape, a rail connection rather than the limestone being moved by road.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Quite important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Community centre, Doctors surgery, Hotel, Other (please specify): School.**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Grazing, Sports provision**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Play space

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

**Oakham,
Peterborough,
Corby,**
Other (please specify):
Leicester.

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Recreational park such as Centre Parks as this would bring employment into the area.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#115

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 7:15:09 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 7:42:32 PM
Time Spent: 00:27:22
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

I think this vision is not necessary, not needed and not thought out for what the people in Rutland want or stand for.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

We need a regular bus to Stamford and Oakham which is already being dispanded in the near future. There is no need to build a new school when the one in Edith Weston is not by any means full and has capacity and land to be made bigger. Why waste your money on a new one? New is not always the best option.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Quite important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? Other (please specify):
None of the above, none are necessary in a rural County such as Rutland. If people want these they would choose to live in a town. You must see this surely!!

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing,
Walking/cycling routes,

Other (please specify):

Solar panels if necessary, at least the land would be being used sensibly and putting back energy into peoples houses.

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

No thanks, there are enough beautiful places around here that will get spoiled with so many more houses and cars on the road. Don't spoil what we already have, that's why Rutland is so special. You are going to destroy Rutlands beauty.

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,
Uppingham

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

As already stated, grazing, walking, natural habitat.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

as you will have assessed, I don't want this proposed plan. However I do realise the village must take some housing locally and am happy with the officers mess site being used for housing. Not a town though, what are you thinking!! Your proposed plan is also an extension of Edith Weston not a new place/village.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#116

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 7:34:05 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 7:56:03 PM
Time Spent: 00:21:57
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

1. This is a massive change for Rutland. Building a new town almost as big as Oakham, increasing the population of the county by 25%, in a rural setting will destroy much of the nature of the county. 3000 homes gives 9-10000 people
2. Transport and road connections: the site is served by small country roads and lanes and surrounded by villages. You cannot simply put a new town in this context without destroying what's already there.
3. Jobs: where will people work? There will not suddenly be enough jobs for all of them. The town will be a dormitory town, as people will have to commute to Peterborough or possibly Leicester.

Comparisons with Oakington are deceptive: Oakington is on the A14, which is being rebuilt. It is also just north of the rapidly expanding city of Cambridge, and just south of the growing towns of Huntingdon and St Ives.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

See my answer to Q1. Full account must be taken of the surrounding area, the lives of the people who live there, and their needs.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Quite important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Supermarket, Market, Place of worship**

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

**Cycling routes,
Play space,
Livestock grazing**

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

**Oakham,
Peterborough,**
Other (please specify):
They need to link to schools and work-places

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

The worst modern village I have seen is Mawsley - no green spaces, no play areas, no pub, no sense of community, houses crammed together with no pavements in the smaller roads. Don't let it be like that!

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

See my comments on 8. The main reason for all this is to help the MoD balance its books; the County Council's job is to safeguard those of us who live in this county. So far, it's done a pretty good job.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████
███

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

[REDACTED]

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#117

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 7:49:35 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 8:17:47 PM
Time Spent: 00:28:12
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Seriously flawed and grossly oversized relative to the county, the infrastructure, the countryside and the community.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Reduction in size of the project to fit the infrastructure. It is impossible to achieve sufficient infrastructure as planned.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Unsure**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? Other (please specify):
This assumes the existing project should go ahead - it is an unfair question. Reduce the size of the project!

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? Other (please specify):
Again it assumes mineral extraction - unfair question.

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

This question makes another assumption - totally unfair - this questionnaire is a disgrace.

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):

Another assumption - it just gets worse

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Allowance for Rutland Airsports paragliding club to continue to operate - no one has consulted us!

Page 10

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Please review this proposal and make a drastic change the proposed development of St George's Barracks.

[REDACTED]

Manton: the impact of a town the size of Uppingham being built in Edith Weston will be devastating. How will the roads cope? Oakham is the nearest town and so Manton faces a colossal impact - it's not hard to imagine tailbacks through the village with that many cars trying to turn onto the A6003.

Apart from this, the impact of creating a new town of this size in the middle of a rural community is madness. To create that many houses on the edge of Oakham or Uppingham is almost unimaginable - and they have shops, supermarkets, petrol stations, a bus network etc etc etc. But do to so in the middle of rural Rutland is madness. St George's barracks needs to change - but on a fraction of the scale proposed.

What will all these people do for employment. All will have to travel - more traffic and impact on the environment. And if they fail to find work, then there is the risk of disaffected people with no prospects and all the problems that will bring to the county.

Rutland Airsports: we are a small club formed a couple of years ago to provide tow launched paragliding in a part of the country without hills. We have quietly built a membership of around 60 and operate on the airfield. We have made all the investment ourselves and have attracted visiting pilots from as far away as Sussex and Colchester the last time we operated. We face closure - a sad loss if we cannot access the airfield as we are low impact sport that offers an interesting angle to Rutland as a destination and brings in a modest amount of tourism as well as supporting local pilots.

Please do the right thing for Rutland and make every effort to ensure that this project is scaled back - hard!

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

[REDACTED]

Q11 What is your age group?

[REDACTED]

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

[REDACTED]

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#118

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 2:37:29 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 8:23:17 PM
Time Spent: Over a day
IP Address: 85.255.235.70

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

I am opposed to this proposal on the grounds that it will result in the creation in rural Rutland of a high-density commuter town serving predominantly Peterborough.

It is clear from Rutland County Council's documentation and, particularly, the drop-in sessions, that there has been no sustained attempt to understand what would be involved in creating the necessary environment that would attract businesses and other employers, such that the new development would be self-sufficient, rather than a dormitory town.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

No response

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Unsure**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? Other (please specify):
No response

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? Other (please specify):
No response

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

No response

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):

No response

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

No response

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

No response

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#119

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 8:27:06 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 8:48:09 PM
Time Spent: 00:21:03
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

I think there are a lot of positives, but there are also some unanswered questions. Firstly how can you guarantee local residents that you will meet the proposed infrastructure improvements and also increase the number of police, fire fighters ambulance cover etc. Not for getting the knock on affect to local hospitals.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

More police, fire fighters, ambulance and hospitals.
Aging population, good quality care homes, help for those who wish to stay in their own homes.
Increasing the numbers of professionals working in the community e.g. midwives, health visitors, social workers.
More access points to the proposed site to reduce traffic build up at one or two key entrance points.
Traffic calming measures so that exciting villages don't get turned into fast run throughs for people in their cars.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Community centre, Doctors surgery,**
Other (please specify):
5 is not enough. They are all important and vital for a good integrated community.

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Sports provision,
Walking/cycling routes,

Other (please specify):

Something that will help the community, Rutland wide.

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

All of the above.

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):

All nearby places. This is a huge development. You need to provide good public transport to all nearby places.

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

I would just like to reiterate that this proposed development will have an impact on all Rutland residents. More emergency services, health care, social care and education will need to be provided as well as road, public transport and amenities. Good quality decent priced shops and banks.

How can you make sure that all of these vital things are going to be put in place before the houses start to be built.

How were those picked to join discussion group decided? I have only recently heard about this development as have my parents who

██████████. Has it been widely known about and had everyone been given the chance to join it at least been able to demonstrate their willingness to join these groups??

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?



Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address



Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#120

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 8:41:18 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 9:03:44 PM
Time Spent: 00:22:25
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Too big. Not in keeping with the character of Rutland or the surrounding villages and will overwhelm the road network.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Education, Health, wider road network, Communications, Bus service, hotel, shopping, leisure and green space.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Shops, Community centre, Doctors surgery, Dentist, Other (please specify): and Supermarket, Events space, Care home, Hotel**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing,
Camping,
Sports provision,
Walking/cycling routes

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Sports provision,
Hiking trails,
Cycling routes,
Play space,
Recreational lake ,
Livestock grazing,
Other (please specify):
This aspect is so far in the future it either should be disregarded or made legally conditional of the proposed development

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,
Uppingham,
Ketton,
Peterborough,
Corby

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

High quality hotel and holiday complex to compliment Rutland Water.

Page 10

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

1000 homes is not an appropriate development of the St George's site. It would be out of character and is only being considered because it is a way funding the MOD, this is not a sensible way to undertake the planning of development. Rutland County Council is doing the residents of Rutland a disservice by promoting this idea on behalf of the MOD. Rutland County Council is failing in it's duty to the people of Rutland with the way it is handling this and has put the funding of the MOD before the needs of the people of Rutland.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#121

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Monday, May 14, 2018 9:46:13 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 9:17:59 PM
Time Spent: Over a week
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

unimpressed

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 8

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 What is your age group?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#122

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 9:11:26 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 9:22:12 PM
Time Spent: 00:10:46
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

I agree that the site should be used for housing but it is far too large a proposal . The mixture of housing and green spaces is a good idea but basically it will be a new town. It will radically change the character of the local area. The ideas for transport all sound good but in reality 3000 homes equals 3000 cars at least travelling through existing villages.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Providing adequate schooling and shopping facilities would be a good idea. All local services would need to cope with any sizeable development.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Shops, Community centre**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Grazing**

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Hiking trails,
Cycling routes,
Recreational lake ,
Livestock grazing

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,
Uppingham,
Ketton,
Peterborough

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#123

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 9:18:47 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 9:48:22 PM
Time Spent: 00:29:34
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

The vision is so conventional in approach and focused on a monetary return that a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity to create something truly visionary, innovative and imaginative is in danger of being missed. Rutland could make its mark with a scheme that is in keeping with the environmental, cultural, social and needs of their times we live in. Instead, what we are being offered more tired outdated thinking that threatens to ruin the county and the lives of the villagers who have chosen to live here.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Appropriate road improvements, healthcare facilities, eco energy generation (e.g.solar), education, leisure and recreational facilities (e.g. sports ground/centre, swimming pool)

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Community centre, Doctors surgery, Hotel**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Grazing**

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

**Hiking trails,
Cycling routes**

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

**Oakham,
Uppingham,
Peterborough**

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Eco housing and self-build opportunities - there is space for a pioneering project.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

The proposals as currently presented are out of scale and out of character and will without doubt impact on the existing communities of North Luffenham and Edith Weston, which would be dwarfed by the provision of up to 3500 homes and a population increase of 10,000. This is not NIMBYism. Most people here would welcome an appropriate development in keeping with the scale and rural nature of our beautiful county. Tourism and recreational uses are surely more likely to create future prosperity than cramming in another town between two charming villages

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

████

Q11 What is your age group?

████
████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#124

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 10:57:50 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 11:05:05 PM
Time Spent: 00:07:14
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

It is wholly out of proportion with the neighbouring villages and countryside

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

For any development to happen on this site there needs to be a more detailed analysis on impact on road use. The more houses you put there, the more cars. The more cars, the greater the risk of causing issues on local roads.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Quite important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Other (please specify):**
all of the above would of course be relevant depending on size of this development. But as it stands, it is too big!

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Walking/cycling routes**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

**Sports provision,
Hiking trails**

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):

If you are putting a large number of homes on this side then you would need to link to all major areas with buses.

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

As it stands, the plans are completely ludicrous and totally out of character for Rutland. While new housing needs to be built in the county it must be done in a way that is measured and in sympathy with the area. This is not and it will be to the detriment of Rutland.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Yes

#125

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 11:22:51 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 11:36:23 PM
Time Spent: 00:13:32
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

The overall vision, as outlined in the Masterplan, is laudable, and it is important that the site is developed in the very best way possible. I appreciate the need to develop the site, and for the MOD to recoup some of its value and to contribute to the national housing requirement, but a development of over 3,500 homes is inappropriate for Rutland. Rutland is a very small rural county, with poor road and public transport links, and 3,500 homes could increase the county's population by almost 25%. However, I accept that a minimum of 1500 homes might be required in order to create a sustainable new community and to be eligible for the government funding.

It is essential that the whole development is phased, and that the phasing is tightly controlled and monitored, taking into account the views of the households and businesses already in place. Perhaps a 'Development Corporation' might be set up to manage the phasing, ensuring the development proceeds as intended, and then to manage and maintain the settlement.

I do not support a satellite development at the east of the site since it could eventually lead to the creation of one large conurbation by creeping infill. A development here could be unattractive since it would be at the bottom of a quarry, 15m below ground level, and would result in an increase in traffic along Wytchley Warren Road/Empingham Road into Ketton and through Ketton to the A1 etc.

Also it could impinge on the historic setting of the Thor Missile Site.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

It is essential that these are in place (or at least space is designated for them) before each phase of the housing and business area are constructed. The electricity supply and system needs to be able to cope with the expected increase in use of electricity for cars, heating, businesses and for technologies associated with working from home. Also for electricity storage generated by eg solar panels fitted as 'standard' to houses and business units in the development – local authorities in other parts of the UK are now installing shared solar panels and battery storage on their social housing.

It is essential that the sewerage and land drain system does not impact on local rivers and streams, perhaps by using a 'reed bed' to clean water before discharge.

Encouragement to walk and cycle within the site, by wide pavements, dedicated cycle ways, cycle parking etc need to be in place from the start. But how will the development 'provide strategic walking and cycling links to Oakham, Stamford and Rutland Water and to Sustrans Route 63 ? Most people are reluctant to commute by bike unless the routes are safe – Sustrans Route 63 is not a safe cycle route, only a recommended route to cycle from 'A to B' on so-called 'quieter' roads. However, cyclists will still be sharing these roads with motorised vehicles travelling up to 60mph, not on separate cycle paths. The facility to carry bikes on buses, especially if the bus frequencies are to be improved, might encourage more cycle use.

The transport assessment suggests that Wytchley Warren Lane will eventually need road widening – why not make improvements sooner, and to incorporate a cycle path, which could be extended along the proposed re-routed stretch of the Empingham Road (due to the pending stopping up order) down into Ketton ? There is already a Ketton to Tinwell cycle path, and the possibility of using the pavements through Tinwell, to create a much safer cycle route from the new settlement all the way to Stamford.

The transport assessment also suggests that Junction 7 (Ketton crossroads) 'will operate satisfactorily in all assessment years with and without development traffic, and so no remodelling will be required to facilitate development traffic'. However, the stopping up and re-routing of the Empingham Road to allow for the quarry extension, will result in a faster road into Ketton, steeply downhill as it enters the village, past the exit from the proposed new housing next to Wootton Close. The Empingham Road and Church Road junctions with the High Street/Luffenham Road, at Ketton cross roads are already often 'jammed' in the mornings with Ketton School traffic and parked cars. Also, Church Road is very narrow at a point close to the crossroad - currently the Parish Council has to put cones out to prevent parking so that the road is wide enough for emergency vehicles.

Increased traffic through Ketton will impact pedestrians along the High Street, since the pavements are narrow, and often on one side of the road only. The primary school is on the High Street – an A road – and parents, and children in pushchairs and on scooters and bikes, will be put at risk.

What will be the further impact on the local road system of the proposed Stamford North/Rutland East development, some 7 miles away ?

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?

Shops,
Supermarket,
Community centre,
Events space,
Doctors surgery

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing,
Camping,
Walking/cycling routes,
Other (please specify):
Small holdings for young agricultural/horticultural entrepreneurs.

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Hiking trails,
Cycling routes,
Play space,
Livestock grazing

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,
Uppingham,
Ketton,
Peterborough,
Corby,
Other (please specify):
Stamford

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Given that most homes will have small gardens there needs to be adequate allotment provision close to the settlement. Allotment land needs to be allocated at the outset, and a water supply provided, as it may become difficult to find a suitable area later – as we have experienced in Ketton.

Some of the area due to be quarried might be rented out as short term lease small holding plots – plenty of bright aspiring agricultural/horticultural entrepreneurs are looking for land to cultivate and set up novel agrarian businesses (see <https://landworkersalliance.org.uk> and www.accesstoland.eu). This would fit well with the agricultural heritage of Rutland.

The old radar tower building would make an excellent Cold War interpretation centre, giving good views over the site from the tower, and the adjacent area could provide parking, with access to the actual Thor Missile area by bike or walking only. The proposals give insufficient unquarried area around the Thor Missile site to preserve its landscape context – reducing the quarried area would provide the remote and wild atmosphere of a cold war site – once quarried, it cannot be reinvented. There needs to be a very thorough historic and ecological assessment of the Thor Missile site and surrounding area. The aerial photos show evidence of other structures, roads etc that were part of the Thor Missile 'story'. If the land to the East and South west of the Thor Missile site is not quarried, but is retained as open limestone grassland (maintained by grazing), it will provide a site of both historical and ecological importance, and also reflect the fact that pre enclosure it was common grazing land. Such a limestone grassland 'nature reserve' would complement Rutland Water's wetlands, increase biodiversity, and together with it as a historic site and landscape, promote tourism and increase length of stay of tourists in the area.

Rather than a village green in one corner of the housing area, why not a 'town square' more centrally, reflecting the squares in our 2 current Rutland towns. Shops, community centre, pub/inn with accommodation (cf. The Falcon), medical centre, a small late opening supermarket (as in Uppingham) etc could be clustered around the square, and the square itself would provide an area for markets, outdoor performances, 'alfresco dining' etc

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

The Masterplan suggests using sandstone and iron stone in the buildings, but in fact, in all of the nearby villages only limestone is present.

House and business unit design should incorporate energy generation and energy efficiency. Also, features of the buildings and their surroundings should be planned to improve biodiversity eg. hedges, meadow/restricted mowing areas, min 1 or 2 nest boxes on houses as standard and bat boxes in appropriate locations. In order to prevent importing plant diseases, all plantings should be of 'home grown' native plants only.

A 'village green' between Edith Weston and the new settlement would not provide a sufficient visual or physical buffer between the 2 settlements, and might have limited uses. A wooded area, and perhaps a community orchard area, would provide a better visual buffer. The new Stamford West housing area (Stamford Manor and Exeter Fields) can clearly be seen from Ketton and detracts from the once rural view.

Such a wooded area would also be adjacent to the new Primary School and would provide a convenient place for 'forest school' activities.

Similarly, the sports area to the south of the settlement does not constitute open space or a buffer between the new settlement and North Luffenham, since it will necessarily involve club houses, flood lights etc. Again, a wooded area, as part of the country park, with a bridleway/cycle path to N.Luffenham, might provide a better buffer zone. The sports area may be better situated nearer the business zone.

The close proximity of the housing to the quarry edge may cause problems, since in Ketton, the effects of blasting – noise, dust and vibrations - are experienced in houses well beyond the 600 to 800m buffer between the village and the quarry.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████
██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council Yes

#126

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 11:50:36 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, June 14, 2018 11:57:51 PM
Time Spent: 00:07:14
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Not in the interest of Rutland or the people who live there.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

New road systems and the rerouting of the cycle track through Manton.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Quite important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Shops, Doctors surgery, Care home**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Grazing, Walking/cycling routes**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used? **Sports provision, Hiking trails**

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

**Oakham,
Uppingham**

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Retain the golf course or replace it.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

The toxic mix of construction traffic, increased motor cars with cyclists, often in family groups with small children, through Manton will create an accident black spot.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████
██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Yes

#127

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 11:15:30 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 12:22:40 AM
Time Spent: 01:07:10
IP Address: 94.9.156.78

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

I am concerned about the size and scale of such a development in such close proximity to Rutland Water and the effect this would have on both the location and the county. I do not think the 'vision' in its current proposed formats would be in the best interests of Rutland or the local area.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Investment into new roads, schools (including VI form and secondary), public transport, medical and health services, supermarkets, etc, would be needed for a development of the size proposed, but the current plans do not cater for the increased traffic this would create or address the negative impact this would have on Rutland Water - an important tourist attraction - or the local villages, towns and community. This is a rural location - there are no schools or jobs - and as a result large scale vehicle use would be necessary, which could not be accommodated by the location of the development.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? Other (please specify):
Given the scale proposed, all of the above would be needed but this is just too vast. It is not a village but is a new town and not in keeping with Rutland.

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Other (please specify):

Any of the above but of greater concern is the adequate provision of facilities and infrastructure to ensure minimal disruption, noise and danger on the roads caused by the mineral extraction to local (existing) communities.

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

I am more concerned that the proposed development doesn't spoil the existing lake, walking and cycle routes instead of providing another lake and cycling route facility next door!

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):

Given the inadequate use of the bus routes in the area currently I think it is highly unlikely that new residents would use such services even if they were improved and increased to all of the above due to the rural location of the development. Cars would be the only practical option for most people with the likelihood that every family household would possess at least 2-3 cars.

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

In addition to small scale housing development I would prefer to see the St George's site developed as a leisure hotel facility/complex that adds to the appeal of Rutland Water and brings income into the local community.

Page 10

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Whilst I understand the demands for housing have to met in this country I do not think the proposed development is in the best interests of Rutland, Rutland Water or the local area. The size and scale will have a detrimental effect on the environment, heritage and countryside and the lack of thought that has gone into the required infrastructure for such a large development is a grave concern for all the neighbouring villages and beyond. We should be building large-scale housing developments in urban areas where there are jobs and schools. A new town in Rutland is not in keeping with the county or its heritage and certainly should not be built without an appropriate infrastructure.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#128

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Thursday, June 14, 2018 11:33:35 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 12:58:36 AM
Time Spent: 01:25:01
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

It is appreciated that as a vacated military site there exists potential for redevelopment. However, it is far from clear how any "vision" for this site can reconcile minerals extraction (as a priority planning consideration) with residential development of the extent, spatial distribution around the available development area and density proposed. The vision must be revised from the outset about the sustainable future for this location e.g. minerals development (i.e. a quarry) and subsequent landscaping to return this location to an entirely, but modified rural setting or a location in which a new viable residential development will be carefully planned and delivered in agreement with local communities.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

The attention given to this matter at this stage of producing a "master plan" is particularly inadequate. In consideration of the housing volume proposed and anticipated traffic requirements, coupled with full consideration of viable means of transport and other public access, any future development proposal must include new roads and access points. The proposed redevelopment location requires a new road access network to existing highways to ease traffic flows and congestion. It is also a fundamental element of a master plan that attention is directed at new footpaths, bridleways or other byways as part of any public consultation plan. The master plan must also set out a timetable for agreement of a new train station as a core demonstration of commitment to serve local communities and fully recognise sustainable transport and access requirements. This matter is far too important and key to the success of this entire project to be left as a weak afterthought; particularly if a quarry is to be first consented whereby a branch line would ease transport of minerals from the site and remove trucks from roads.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?

Other (please specify):

What is meant by “local centre”? This is particularly unhelpful terminology given the vague vision proposed for this redevelopment. The choice of facilities identified from shops, to supermarkets to hotel would seem to imply a “local centre” that equates to a town centre, perhaps modelled on another urban area in the county. It is therefore essential that if the intention is to construct a new town this is stated clearly and far more attention is directed at appropriate urban design and infrastructure required to make such a new town a viable prospect given the economic and social realities of the present and sensible future scenarios.

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Other (please specify):

The matter of interest here is for how long public access might be facilitated prior to initiation of any quarrying activity and what expectation might therefore exist that a valued area of public space (“sports provision” is mentioned as an option) once removed will be subject to compensation through allocation of an equivalent area and quality of land to continue public access and use. If the location of viable minerals is to be considered private then it will be in the gift of the owner to manage the site accordingly e.g. grazing land or other temporary use such as a camping site etc

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

This proposal must be informed by good practice demonstrated elsewhere in the establishment and running of a “country park”; these essentially provide public recreational space and therefore should be planned in a coordinated way with the provision of other public space and associated access. For example, the proximity to Rutland Water should allow for new access points by pedestrians or bicycle to create a network of car-free access and recreation. Overall the economic reality of such provision must be planned and resourced in perpetuity to deliver intended public benefit.

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):

This draft master plan must be more ambitious and imaginative. While revitalising bus services is certainly one option and a network that serves this proposed new urban development with existing urban areas must be a fundamental part of any development proposal. However, the major programme of infrastructure investment must be the establishment of a new train station on the existing line that runs between Oakham and Stamford. It is unacceptable to consider this as a separate matter and therefore easy to be neglected by all parties. The station should serve any quarry and any new town development to provide easy access both as a local commuting option and for wider visitor access and thereby ease road use.

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Any "uses or provisions" must all contribute to the establishment of a new viable (socially, environmentally and economically) community in any new town that might be built on the former St George's site. The site must provide well planned public and private space of any and all residents. A sense of belonging will need to be supported which can only be achieved through mutual respect and accountability. Fully democratic rights must be respected and local Councillors that cover this area must continually explain progress and decision-making to Parish Councils and other members of the public, plus other private landowners in the area as might be affected by this development programme (i.e. quarry and any subsequent urban area).

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

An essential matter to be addressed must be the existing stock of housing, as used as service personnel accommodation on the margins of the presently defined St George's site. Any future programmes for private sale (as demonstrated by part sale of former married quarters off the Manton Road) must be made clear immediately and the quality of the existing housing stock must be very carefully assessed and a decision made about any viability for future use and how any new urban area design can be reconciled with existing housing if to be retained. However, if the decision is taken to directly incorporate any existing housing as part of any overall redevelopment plan it must be made clear if demolition (if legally permissible) is to occur and the extent of the St George's development area spatially redefined accordingly.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?



Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address



Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#129

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 7:23:15 AM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 7:34:25 AM
Time Spent: 00:11:10
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

it should returned back to nature

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

none because I am totally against this development

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families?

Not important

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?

Other (please specify):

This is a rural community and none of this is required as the development has no support from rutlanders

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Other (please specify):

WHY WOULD WE WANT ANYMORE MINING IN RUTLAND

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):
this site should be returned back to nature

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):
you cannot even keep the current bus services going

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

dig a big hold and put the rutland council liars into it. then dissolve rutland council and takes back into leicestershire who look after their villages.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

this is not a garden village you are liars

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#130

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 12:16:50 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 10:04:59 AM
Time Spent: Over a week
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Other than the facts that the St George's Barracks site is to become vacant, is a brownfield site and therefore qualifies as a 'Garden Village', there is no justification for the scale or development proposed within the masterplan. The masterplan is in conflict with the extant Local Plan for Rutland and the Local Plan Review. The Peterborough Housing Market Area and Boston Borough Council Strategic Housing Market Assessment Update Final Report (March 2017) identifies an Objectively Assessed Need for Housing (OANH) in Rutland of 3,975 new homes over the period 2011 to 2036. The Local Plan Review Publication Draft (2017) plans for 4,000 and Policy RPN3 The Spatial Strategy of Development states that 70% of residential development during the Plan period should be in the Main Towns and the remaining 30% within Local Service Centres. It is therefore not clear what needs the Barracks plan would be meeting, and the masterplan would be contrary to existing spatial planning within Rutland. The masterplan is in an unsuitable location and is likely to have a significant negative effect on the nearby village of Edith Weston, on landscape character and highways.

The masterplan suggests that the new development is separate from Edith Weston, but I disagree. It is evidently not separate. I do not support the satellite settlement; it is isolated from the rest of the development and from main transport routes.

The size of this proposed development will inevitably have a negative impact on the wildlife and the Special Site of Scientific Interest that is Rutland Water. It is a SPA, as you note, and only 500 metres north of the barracks. There is no information in the masterplan as to how this impact can be avoided.

Information on housing density is not appropriate. Examples are not in keeping with this part of the country and the surrounding villages. The examples have no relationship with this site. Discussions around density should refer to the existing settlements nearby and I object to the proposed average density of 29 dwellings per hectare which suggests that higher densities than 29 dph would be developed in some parts of the site.

There is too little information on the number and types of employment which would be provided on the site, and what is deemed unsuitable. The business area is tucked away from main roads and accesses, which seems counterproductive.

Transport information provided in the plan is misleading; it does not set out how a new town could actually be delivered with adequate transport connections in such a location. If it cannot be demonstrated that adequate infrastructure can be delivered for a substantial settlement to ensure a sustainable development then the allocation of the site in the Local Plan would be unsound.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

This proposed development would need extensive improvements in its infrastructure which is one of the reasons why it is so unsuitable. It is really unlikely to be able to provide enough employment for the number of households suggested, even at the beginning, so residents will travel to work. The roads are inadequate for increased traffic, the bus service poor, and there is no nearby train station. These transport services would need to be subsidized, frequent, convenient and reliable to tempt people away from their cars, and this is not, unfortunately, a realistic proposition – Uppingham recently lost a bus service because the company found it to be uneconomic. A train service, if it could become a reality would only work if there is a demonstrated regular customer base; people regularly visiting an amenity or attending a workplace. Usually parking is then needed at a station.

A new school is likely to attract families from outside the immediate area to choose it, therefore adding to traffic movements and congestion at certain times of the day.

Here in South Luffenham we are conscious that we are on a convenient direct route to the A47, and could be used as a 'rat run' for anyone wanting to avoid the level crossing at the mill. The roads are narrow and twisting, and there are two lots of horse stabling, with a third on the way to Barrowden, so on a drive to join the A47 you might well turn a corner and find two riders in the road. Care has to be taken because some cottages have no drives or garages and have to park in awkward places on the road. The village is partly in a dip and roads are not gritted in winter, so it can be tricky in snow or icy conditions, and the inclines and parked vehicles add to that. Also there are no filling stations near Rutland Water; the nearest petrol station is south in Morcott, otherwise drivers have to go to Oakham, Uppingham or Stamford for petrol.

The masterplan is extremely vague regarding all aspects of transport mitigation, identifying some of the improvements needed, but not making clear what essential mitigation would be needed in order for the development to take place. A Transport Assessment must be undertaken.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families?

Very important

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?

Other (please specify):

A sizable community, such as is proposed would need many of the above services, but as traditional family roles have changed and more women work away from home, shopping patterns have changed, and local shops have to cater for less trade. So a small village shop can struggle. One attached to another service, a garage for example, may survive. This question assumes a large settlement which I do not support.

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

**Sports provision,
Walking/cycling routes**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

**Sports provision,
Cycling routes,
Play space**

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

**Oakham,
Uppingham,
Ketton,
Other (please
specify):
Stamford**

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Any new housing should include Housing Association rental properties for local families on lower wages who cannot think of buying, with an embargo on them ever being sold on, so they are available to the next generation. Also there should be some restrictions on all properties regarding buying and using solely for holiday letting.

This could be a real opportunity to provide some useful community amenities and demonstrate how environmentally sound a redevelopment of a so-called brownfield site can be. My suggestions are to keep the golf course as a public course –Corby has one and they are common in Scotland-- and improve access by teaching youngsters. The golf national bodies are concerned that not enough young players are interested, so they could get involved. The two public schools in Rutland could add sponsorship to fulfil their charitable obligations. Perhaps an academy to teach visiting pupils could reuse some of the army buildings. There could be a swimming pool so that the local primary schools do not have to travel so far in school time to lessons. Children/families could come to a residential centre to make use of the sailing and sailboarding opportunities, and other activities. All buildings, including new housing and office space could be energy self-sufficient using solar and thermal energy, and electric vehicles promoted. Companies who run family activity breaks, like the Centre Parks experience, could be interested in taking on part of the site; government departments should be enlisted - environment and education - to demonstrate their commitment to community and young people.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

St George's Barracks Consultation

I think this plan is unsuitable for the site, and it does not meet the needs of Rutland residents. The reasoning may have been that it would be better to join with the MoD to ensure maximum influence over the site's development but this plan has no relationship with this area, or the concept of living outside an urban conurbation. This is not Aldershot, or one of the surplus airfields and army camps in Wiltshire and Lincolnshire, where there is obvious access to major road routes; neither is it's situation anything like RAF Oakington, which is 5 miles from Cambridge, a city crying out for more housing of all types, and with employment opportunities. This plan proposes a settlement the size of Oakham or Uppingham adjacent to Rutland Water, a protected area of European importance, known for wild bird habitats including the endangered Ospreys. The main industry is tourism, and access to the A1, the nearest national artery, is indirect. I realize that a 'garden village' is supposed to plan for and generate its own employment, but this proposal would not deliver sufficient employment. Apart from the increase in car use and subsequent congestion, some air pollution is inevitable, and so too will be light pollution. At present we have dark night skies and few street lights, but a large conurbation will expect better coverage, and retail and work premises will want security lighting. This will affect the night sky, and the wildlife.

What is most remarkable is that this 'garden village' model, promoted by the present government, completely flies in the face of all the previous planning principles held by the County Council since the reservoir was built. Developments have been carefully scrutinised and often disallowed; I recall the long running confrontation over the Manton pig farmer's application to build a house on his land just outside the boundary of the village, and only last year the camp sites, mainly hosting bird watchers, applied to remain open all year round and were turned down. Only in the last few years has it been possible for a modest increase in holiday accommodation to be allowed. Now it is proposed to build a whole new town practically on the shore of the reservoir. The true test of this plan is to ask this – if Rutland is in need of a new settlement the size of a small town, where shall we put it? Adjacent to Rutland Water would be ruled out straight away, rightly so, as it would be contrary to the Local Plan.

The Council states that it is considering how to integrate St George's Barracks into the Local Plan Review it is currently preparing. It is not clear whether this masterplan will become a Supplementary Planning Document or whether the site will be allocated within the Local Plan. If it is allocated in the Local Plan it is assumed that a policy relating to it will set out the development to be delivered, plus infrastructure and other requirements. It appears that the masterplan will set the framework for future development consent of projects (i.e. planning applications) at the St George's Barracks site and if it is to form part of the statutory development plan, will fall under the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Regulations and legally require a Strategic Environmental Assessment.

An important part of the SEA process is the identification and assessment of all reasonable options which has not yet been undertaken. Should the site be taken forward, option assessments for the site will need to be undertaken and consulted on as part of the Local Plan Review Sustainability Appraisal. I note that several options for the masterplan have been considered previously and one option has been identified as 'preferred' through 'discussion' between Rutland County Council and the MoD. However, no information is presented in this consultation about the merits or implications of any of the options considered, particularly the scale of the development, and no justification has been given for the chosen masterplan option. I suggest that SEA be carried out at this stage for all options considered, particularly covering the scale and type of development proposed, and that information on the potential impacts of each option is made publically available as soon as possible. The public should be given an effective opportunity to provide comments on the options. The omission of this information from this consultation means that the information presented is inadequate. I do not believe that some focus group meetings in January which the Council claims have informed their decisions, would be considered a rigorous assessment of options, and doubt that anyone present at those meetings envisaged a new town.

These proposals have created a lot of unease. This partnership decision was taken without prior consultation and has set up a situation where people now are cynical about whether they will be listened to, or whether this consultation is just a formality in name only. All suggestions from the public seem to have been, so far, ignored, with no explanation. Rutland should not be trying to solve the nation's urban housing crisis. Obviously the MoD should prioritize the benefit to the 'taxpayer', but we are the taxpayer, particularly when it comes to our high Council Tax, and if this site can be used to benefit us all by providing local people with housing that is needed, and as a recreation and/or educational resource, which provides employment, then it will achieve its goal.

This military base has over the years become a benign presence with little to disrupt the locality in the last 25 years, and it is geographically very close to its village neighbours and only 500 metres from the Rutland Water site. There were once limited aircraft manoeuvres, and some publishing, then army movements and now the dog and medical units. The site has many mature trees and the golf course has been available to local people. So residents in the area are not welcoming the end of the existing regime and would, I think, like to see this attractive site reused for recreational and community use, to promote tourism and increase employment in this way. We have looked to our County Council to understand this and promote this outcome, yet this proposed scheme reads as though it was prepared by someone who has never even seen the site, and has no local knowledge, which is why this development plan is such a disappointment.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

[REDACTED]

Q11 What is your age group?

[REDACTED]

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

[REDACTED]

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#131

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 10:38:05 AM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 11:28:23 AM
Time Spent: 00:50:18
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Not good

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Improvement to roads

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Community centre**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 8

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 What is your age group?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#132

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 3:00:07 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 3:00:34 PM
Time Spent: 00:00:26
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Good as long RCC can clarify its view of a "sustainable community" in terms of comparative best practice by other rural local authorities.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 8

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 What is your age group?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#133

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 2:33:19 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 3:27:01 PM
Time Spent: 00:53:42
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Good as long RCC can clarify its view of a "sustainable community" in terms of comparative best practice by other rural local authorities.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

1. New short direct link road to A606.
2. New, off-road cycle path 1) to connect the new settlement to the round Rutland water cycle path 2) to connect with Ketton and Stamford.
3. Business Hub for start-ups with shared office facilities, managed by a cooperative/ social enterprise to attract external funding.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Community centre, Events space, Doctors surgery, Place of worship**,
Other (please specify):
Town square as a location for the market, and events, surrounded by place or worship and doctors surgery, with location for taxis and buses.

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Other (please specify):

1) Community woodland which would act as a sound and dust baffle from the quarrying. This would be managed by a community development trust. 2) Allotments and smallholdings (eg. 2 ha) let on a temporary basis by the development trust. 3) The development trust could access additional external funding.

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Cycling routes,

Other (please specify):

A full ecological survey of the whole area should identify the most biodiverse areas of the proposed country park. This could then be managed by the development trust primarily for its ecological value. In the less biodiverse areas a permanent community woodland, including a community orchard, could be developed and managed by the development trust. The whole country park could be managed by the development trust. The parish council or county council could have representation on the development trust. However the development trust would have management responsibility for the open spaces of the settlement and country park, as well as the community centre and business hub. Many newly created settlements have been set up with a development trust. Development trusts are enterprises created by communities to enable sustainable development in their area. They undertake a wide range of economic, environmental and social activities and services. However in this case the trust would need to be set up in embryo prior to the establishment of the new resident community.

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Ketton,

Other (please specify):

Stamford - An obvious inclusion!!

Page 9

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

- 1) The key one is a development trust, as mentioned earlier.
 - 2) The next is a full ecological survey that can identify the biodiverse areas esp. near the Thor missile site.
 - 3) New affordable housing.
 - 4) A townscape that mirrors, but in a modern setting, the dominant limestone character of the three neighbouring towns - Stamford, Oakham and Uppingham, as well as the three villages of N Luffenham, Ketton and Edith Weston.
-

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

-

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council Yes

#134

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 3:13:57 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 3:34:49 PM
Time Spent: 00:20:51
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Much too big and much too quick.
Where will the jobs come from?
Very out of character for the area.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Improved road network not just the junctions.
Health and school facilities.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Shops, Supermarket, Doctors surgery**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Walking/cycling routes, Other (please specify): Farmland**

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Sports provision,

Play space,

Other (please specify):

Farmland

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,

Uppingham,

Ketton,

Peterborough,

Corby,

Other (please specify):

Leicester

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Farmland use on airfield site before development to control noxious weeds and to keep the area tidy etc.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

What happened to the golf course?

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████
████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q12 Email address

[REDACTED]

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#135

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 4:11:21 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 4:11:59 PM
Time Spent: 00:00:37
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

xxx

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 8

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 What is your age group?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#136

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 4:25:49 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 4:34:03 PM
Time Spent: 00:08:14
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Horrific – I am deeply unhappy about the proposals in their current form. I believe they are excessively focussed on providing high density housing and a large quarry. They show scant regard for Rutland's rural character and to my mind see the airfield's wildlife and the Thor Missile Site complex as barriers to be overcome rather than opportunities to be welcomed and embraced within the development proposals.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Infrastructure requirements need to be included in a re-worked second draft of the Masterplan that is subject to a second public consultation.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Quite important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Other (please specify):**
I would like to see plenty of open space and there must be traffic free communal squares – a mosaic of such spaces rather than one long 'street'.

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Walking/cycling routes,

Other (please specify):

It is my preferred view that the Green Belt between North Luffenham and the new town should be a country park from the outset of the proposed development. I suggest that any areas awaiting quarrying are managed as part of the country park. Those areas of highest environmental quality should be managed so as to maximise their biodiversity potential, effectively a nature reserve within the country park. The introduction of grazing by cattle or sheep would be compatible with such an approach. It follows that informal recreational activities such as walking, cycling and picnicking would be compatible with such an approach. I do not believe that formal organised team sports would be appropriate.

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Hiking trails,

Cycling routes,

Play space,

Livestock grazing,

Other (please specify):

As mentioned above I believe that quiet recreational activities such as walking, cycling, picnicking and informal play space would be compatible with such an approach. I do not believe that formal organised team sports or other sports provision (such as playing fields) would be appropriate. The introduction of grazing by cattle or sheep as part of a management regime for the areas of high nature conservation interest would be welcomed. If a lake is created I believe, given the area's existing conservation value, this should be treated as a nature reserve space and recreational activities on or adjacent to the lake should be those that are compatible with the wildlife interest.

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):

No Comment

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

A national Cold War Visitor Centre.

A nature reserve area within the country park.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

With respect to the question number 3 'How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families?'

It is important to provide such provision for the residents of Rutland. I do not accept that there is a need to meet this requirement, within Rutland, for the residents of other local authorities if that is to the detriment of Rutland's unique rural character (as it would be in my opinion).

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#137

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 10:48:10 AM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 5:31:07 PM
Time Spent: 06:42:56
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

It does not give adequate consideration to the impact on the villages in close proximity to the first and subsequent phases of the proposed development.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Because the "new town" is some distance from Oakham and Uppingham, where many of the public services and much of the infrastructure are already overstretched, it is important that the housing is not constructed ahead of what is required on the to serve the site itself.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?
Shops,
Supermarket,
Community centre,
Doctors surgery,
Care home

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?
Grazing,
Sports provision,
Walking/cycling routes

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

This is almost a duplicate of the previous question. Obviously it would be mistaken to commit major sums on facilities that will have a limited life

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

**Oakham,
Uppingham,
Ketton,**

Other (please specify):

In principle, they should also link as many villages as possible but one would not expect a detailed study at this stage.

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

The draft plans need to include a plan showing a much larger area than just the St George's site so that its impact on adjacent villages and countryside can be better assessed. I sought to put this point to a planning officer at the drop in session at Uppingham and received a disingenuous response. The buffer areas around the development site are inadequate for the purpose which a plan covering a larger area would reveal. Also such a plan would demonstrate that the existing road system, which does not receive any comment, is totally incapable of accommodating the large amount of traffic that will be generated by the St George's. I have seen no mention of the Landscape Character Assessment of 2003. Has that simply been abandoned? Similarly the Morcott Conservation Area Appraisal emphasises the importance of views into and out of the Village.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?



Q11 What is your age group?



Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address



Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Yes

#138

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 7:48:31 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 8:07:29 PM
Time Spent: 00:18:57
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Inappropriately large and not viable when taking into account the infrastructure

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Depends on the amount of houses built but would need an adequate road system at the very least, the construction of which would change the attractiveness of Rutland as a tourist destination on which Rutland prides its self and which provides a significant amount of income

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? Other (please specify):
if the site is small enough then facilities near by could be used, a bus service would be useful as would a doctors surgery but small GP surgeries are being closed all over the country, not just Rutland as they are no longer viable

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing,
Walking/cycling routes,

Other (please specify):

Yet again the extraction of minerals would necessitate the creation of the infrastructure to support it, large lorries on Rutland's small roads would be dangerous to road users, pedestrians and cyclists. The roads in Rutland are already poorly maintained and this would make a bad situation worse

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

This country park is not going to be established until after the mineral extraction has finished, however, if it is kept to grass then it would be better for all

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):

All of the above but I am unable to comprehend why Leicester has not been included as many children go to school/college/university in Leicester and need a bus route and Rutland shares many of its facilities with Leicester, health etc.

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

A small development of affordable homes for those Rutlanders who can not afford to live here once they have left home. It should be similar to the scheme that is in the Lake District where local people have first choice at reduced rates with certain caveats

Page 10

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

In Rutland this is a proposal for a town 1½ times larger than Uppingham

The St George's development should be in proportion to the housing needs of Rutland and not serve as a community of workers commuting to Cambridge, Peterborough and elsewhere in very large numbers of cars.

Developers should be consulted about the saleability of housing very near a quarry which will be worked for many years. The proposed 'Country Park' at the site of the proposed quarry may never materialise.

There are many comments concerning Affordable Housing and yet no definition as to what they mean by Affordable Housing. Some who live in Lincolnshire but work or do voluntary work in Rutland say it is not only house prices in Rutland but also the very high Council Tax compared to nearby councils that keeps them out of Rutland.

Sound evidence should be produced for an appropriate road infrastructure that will protect local communities from being inundated with traffic.

Development of extra resource is necessary for GPs, Dentists & Care Services, where is the evidence it can be provided?

Secondary school places will have to be found, the evidence produced at the Drop-in Session was weak.

Sound evidence for local employment should be produced before introduction of a large housing estate the size of Uppingham. Have relevant business people been consulted, how many people are currently employed in the Ashwell development, and how many more will be employed there as the Ashwell development proceeds?

How will a new town impact Oakham & Uppingham, will it draw much needed resources away from them?

Does RCC have the resources or experience to handle such a large and sensitive development, as witness their £1.88m loss through mishandling of a recent development in Oakham, and the fiasco around their plans for a one way road system in Oakham.

Project Delivery Board members or other key representatives should work carefully and constructively to convince the MOD that Rutland has a precious rural heritage that deserves preservation, and that a smaller (but nevertheless substantial if staged) development is in the best interests of all.

Although previously rejected, RCC should now carefully reconsider the appointment of key local residents (non-councillors) to the Project Development Board or similar.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council Yes

#139

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 8:19:21 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 8:49:03 PM
Time Spent: 00:29:41
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

The overall vision is so large that is it out of place in our county. The scale of the development would make it larger than the biggest village in the county, or maybe on a par with Uppingham, but without the infrastructure surrounding it to make it comfortable. It will drastically change life around the area between Normanton, Ketton, North Luffenham, Edith Weston and surrounding villages.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Investment in roads within and out of the development will be essential. Bus links would need to be improved for commuters. Investment in emergency services would have to be included with such an increase in population.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Quite important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Shops, Community centre, Doctors surgery, Care home, Other (please specify): A fire station. Pharmacy.**

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing,
Walking/cycling routes,

Other (please specify):

Nature areas.

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Sports provision,

Other (please specify):

Golf course.

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,

Uppingham,

Ketton,

Peterborough,

Other (please specify):

Stamford

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

We would like to see some guarantee for the green spaces proposed within the housing areas, that further houses would not be built on these at a later date.

Page 10

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Residents in Normanton are unsatisfied by the questionnaire for this development, considering the questions to be leading/too rigid. Residents have felt that Rutland County Council has not listened to the requests of local people in consultation over this development, feeling that there could be a hidden agenda. The credibility of Rutland County Council has been questioned by village residents.

There is some concern from Normanton residents regarding the potential need to potentially compulsory purchase land. Residents would really like further communication about this.

However you look at it, there will be many more people living in this area but not enough jobs within the local vicinity (county) to provide work for them all, therefore there will be many commuters on our small village roads. Residents of Normanton are extremely concerned about this, and particularly about the junction of Wytchley Road and Normanton Park Road. We are concerned about the speed limits along Normanton Park Road and slowing them down to allow for a safer junction when there is more traffic in the future. It is a great concern overall about how much additional traffic there will be and ensuring that investment is made in the area surrounding the development.

I am writing this as Clerk of Normanton Parish Meeting, and have minutes relating to my comments. I was asked to complete this questionnaire by the Chairman on behalf of residents.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#140

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 9:20:49 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 9:30:19 PM
Time Spent: 00:09:30
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Exciting and well thought out with scope for more sporting facilities, let's continue to grow Rutland as a centre of excellence for sporting facilities.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Large GP surgery to support village population growth.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?
Shops,
Community centre,
Events space,
Doctors surgery,
Care home

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?
Grazing,
Sports provision,
Walking/cycling routes

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Sports provision,
Hiking trails,
Cycling routes,
Play space,
Recreational ,
lake
Livestock grazing

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,
Uppingham,
Peterborough

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

As part of sporting provision a swimming pool for Rutland school competitions and water sports clubs

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Good range of consultation days offering opportunity for public awareness and questions.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#141

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 9:40:17 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 9:59:05 PM
Time Spent: 00:18:47
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

My views on the 'vision' and master plan are perfectly expressed in "North Luffenham Parish Council's Response to the Consultation". I agree with all of the points made and the views expressed therein, and I have little to add.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

The local road system is wholly inadequate for the proposed size of development, and the recommendations from the roads survey which I have seen are disappointing. One wholly neglected issue is the effect of the increased volume of traffic on the nearby villages, and the pressures which there will be on Stamford and Oakham centres. Again, I agree with the points made in "North Luffenham Parish Council's Response to the Consultation".

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Quite important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? **Respondent skipped this question**

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 What is your age group?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question

#142

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 10:00:13 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 10:27:55 PM
Time Spent: 00:27:42
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

My views on the 'vision' and master plan are perfectly expressed in "North Luffenham Parish Council's Response to the Consultation". I agree with all of the points made and the views expressed therein, and I have little to add.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Again, I wholly agree with the views expressed in "North Luffenham Parish Council's Response to the Consultation". The road system around the proposed development is inadequate for the resulting traffic increase, and the roads survey which I have seen is disappointing in its conclusions. In particular, the impact of traffic volume and noise on the quality of life of the nearby villages is ignored, as is the pressures which will be result in Stamford and Oakham centres.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Quite important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? Other (please specify):
It seems obvious that residents in a development of this size will expect all facilities to be provided locally - quite apart from the (again obvious) inability of some existing key providers (e.g. GPs, dentists) to manage a large increase in demand. Again, an objective must be to minimise additional road journeys to the towns and other existing service locations

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing,
Walking/cycling routes,

Other (please specify):

Again, I support the views expressed in "North Luffenham Parish Council's Response to the Consultation".

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):

The proposed dates for this are so far into the future that I find it difficult to take this question seriously. When the time comes, I suspect that there will be other designs on this land.

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):

Ask the people who choose to live on this development.

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

A golf course.

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

My views are well expressed in "North Luffenham Parish Council's Response to the Consultation".

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?



Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address



Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#143

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 10:17:59 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 11:00:03 PM
Time Spent: 00:42:04
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Total folly, ridiculous, not all acceptable. Seems that the Rutland Council hasn't done its homework and definitely ignores the people of Rutland. 3000 houses i.e. 7200 extra people, at least 6000 cars, you are trying to put another Uppingham x 1.5 in a 300 ha sight, really? According to your figures a population increase of 19%, just nuts. The most you could aim for should be a maximum of 300 houses (less actually as we have an average of 2.4 people per household). Based on your 3000 figure and the size of Rutland, if we use the same ratio for UK the equivalent would be no less than an extra 1.9 million houses, just bonkers! Why should Rutland pay for the housing failures of successive governments. As far as creating jobs, I wonder how many dreamers you have in the Council. I am not even mentioning traffic, narrow roads, schooling, doctors etc. plus the works traffic which would go on for years and years therefore a continuous inconvenience to people in Rutland never mind Edith Weston, North Luffenham, Manton etc. Needless to say I am totally against this ridiculous proposal. actually I would like to know how much the Council has spent producing the actual proposal. Of course, being a small Council you can be bullied. I bet this wouldn't happen in our closest neighbours; Lincolnshire, Cambridgeshire, Leicestershire, Northamptonshire just to mention a few.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

See previous comments.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Unsure**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?

Other (please specify):

None of the above as the maximum should be 300 dwellings, therefore the services we have now should be improved.

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Other (please specify):
See previous comments

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):
Not applicable

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,
Uppingham,
Ketton,
Peterborough,
Corby,
Other (please specify):
Whayever.

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

none

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

As I said in the first page, the proposal of 3000 dwellings is plain crazy. If it goes ahead one could plan to leave Rutland and say go to Lincolnshire where rates are lower.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?



Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address



Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#144

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 10:12:43 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 11:06:53 PM
Time Spent: 00:54:10
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Whilst the MOD brownfield site is suitable for some residential and industrial development (if there is a proven need), the proposed scale is too large and unsustainable for the surrounding infrastructure. There is not enough regard for vernacular architecture which gives Rutland its special flavour. There has not been enough regard to its impact on Rutland Water, the jewel in the crown of Rutland Tourism. The surrounding land is earmarked for 50 years of mineral extraction which does not sit easily with this number of residential units and so the size of the new community must be much reduced. The development should be phased, starting with 500 houses and no further development permitted within the timescale of the local plan. Then the effect of the propose development on Oakham, Uppingham, Rutland Water, The surrounding roads and services (GPs etc) can be assessed.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

There are already dangerous junctions on Edith Weston Road at Lyndon (industrial units) and in Manton, at the junction with A6003. There should be no access onto Wytchley Warren Road, it is unsuitable for two way traffic. Likewise the road from North Luffenham to Lyndon is single track and unsuitable for any increased traffic flow. The traffic from the proposed development will use North Luffenham and Lyndon to access Uppingham and Corby. How will proposed (industrial) traffic access A1 (Northbound and Southbound)?

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Community centre, Market, Doctors surgery, Place of worship**

Page 6

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing,

Other (please specify):

Rutland Water exists for walking/cycling. There is camping at Wing.

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Livestock grazing,

Other (please specify):

There is no requirement for a country park next to Rutland Water. The land is earmarked for mineral extraction and should be used for agriculture,

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,

Uppingham,

Peterborough,

Other (please specify):

Rai link at South Luffenham requires parking provision. Increased traffic through North Luffenham is unacceptable, there are sustained traffic issues at beginning and end of the school day

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

The provision of 3,000 houses is too many for the infrastructure surrounding the development and will severely impact on the beauty of Rutland Water and surrounding villages. It is not a garden village but of a size comparable to the county town Oakham. It will impact on the viability of Oakham and place severe pressure on Peterborough and local hospitals. It is unsustainable to have such a development next to designated minerals extraction area. Generated traffic will have severe impact on the enjoyment of Rutland Water - the jewel in the crown for Rutland tourism. Accordingly, there is no requirement for a Country Park next to Rutland Water and this land should be returned to agriculture.

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

████

Q11 What is your age group?

████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

████████████████████

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council **Yes**

#145

COMPLETE

Collector: Embedded Survey 2 (Website Survey)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 10:07:18 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 11:33:09 PM
Time Spent: 01:25:51
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Having attended an open session and listened to the presentation given for the future of St Georges Barracks the vision for does not seem to reflect what the current residents of Rutland would like to see.

The whole presentation was below the standard required to allay the fears a development of this size. There were no clear detailed plan available to show us, the immediate residents who live close by and who will be adversely affected by this abnormally large scale development lumped in the middle of the countryside.

This potential development (Community) in house numbers alone is as big as Uppingham. Why do you think it is acceptable for us to accept?

It was my impression and I always thought that RCC was there to protect the best interests of Rutland residents and having attended the open meeting I am convinced that RCC are being steam roller'ed over this enormous development lacks the detail we need to see to have an informed opinion.

I do not think this 'vision' represents what the county wants. We are a rural county and this scale of development is too big.

There are plans to expand the areas around Peterborough and Corby, there should be a special case for Rutland as we are nothing but a 'Borough Council' in the size of population we have which relies on agriculture and tourism.

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

I fear and suspect that the development in some size will be given the 'go ahead' (without the approval of Rutland residents) but hopefully not to the scale proposed.

The biggest single improvement has to be the road network which currently struggles to cope with current traffic levels which has increased in-measurably in the 22 years I have been resident of the county. We are now experiencing commuters using the under sized lanes as 'rat runs' to connect through to the A47 and A606 through our village (Lyndon).

Even if we didn't have this ill judged development to consider the road quality (not just around St Georges Barracks) needs to be improved enormously.

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families?

Very important

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development?

Other (please specify):
Why don't RCC concentrate their efforts on supporting/keeping/improving Oakham and Uppingham as our retail and service towns.

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required?

Grazing,
Other (please specify):
This question is not relevant as there is farm land within close proximity to the site, so grazing. There is a camping at Wing (3 miles). Edith Weston has sports facilities close by with Rutland Water GC and North Luffenham GC, oh and Luffenham Heath GC and forgive for me mentioning the elephant in the room, Rutland Water with an abundance of walking, cycling, fishing etc. etc. Why do you think we need a country park on the proposed site, we have one of the biggest in the country within 1 mile!

Page 7

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):
Please see Q5

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Other (please specify):
Improve the road network first before you think of where the bus service should go to.

Page 9

#146

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, June 15, 2018 11:29:10 PM
Last Modified: Friday, June 15, 2018 11:38:18 PM
Time Spent: 00:09:07
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 2

Q1 What do you think about the overall vision for the St George's Barracks site?

Way too many houses

Page 3

Q2 What investment in public services or infrastructure would you like to see as part of any future development?

Invisible housing

Page 4

Q3 How important to you is the provision of housing that is affordable to young families? **Very important**

Page 5

Q4 In your view, what facilities are most important for a local centre that would sit at the heart of any future development? **Events space,**
Other (please specify):
Large wooded areas

Page 6

Q5 How would you like to see the minerals extraction area utilised until the point that access to the minerals is required? Other (please specify):
Giving back to nature

Page 7

St George's Barracks Consultation

Q6 A country park is proposed as part of any future development. How would you like to see this used?

Other (please specify):
Instead of houses

Page 8

Q7 With a new development would come an opportunity to provide new and/or improved bus services in the local area. Where do you think improved bus services should link to?

Oakham,
Uppingham,
Other (please specify):
London

Page 9

Q8 Are there any other uses or provisions you would like to see on the St George's site as part of any future development?

Undeveloped land

Page 10

Q9 Please use the space below to provide any other comments or feedback regarding draft plans for the St George's site

Just don't

Page 11: About you

Q10 What is your postcode?

██████████

Q11 What is your age group?

██████

Page 12: Keeping you informed

Q12 Email address

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 I would like to receive news from Rutland County Council

Respondent skipped this question